



Safer Roads Key Area Meeting Summary



Date/Time:	Thursday, February 1, 2024 10:00 AM – 11:30 AM
Chair:	Lacey Tisler, NDOT Traffic Safety Engineering
Vice Chair Safe Speed:	Todd Hartline, DPS Office of Traffic Safety
Vice Chair Lane Departures:	Shawn Paterson, NDOT Design
Vice Chair Intersections:	Rod Schilling, NDOT Traffic Operations
Vice Chair Work Zones:	Kim Goodwin, NDOT Traffic Operations

Attendees

- See attached for the meeting attendees.

Topics

- **Summary from Last Quarterly Meeting** – There were no comments on the summary from the last quarterly meeting.
- **Monthly Fatality Data Update** – The preliminary 2023 state fatal report is attached. 386 total fatalities for 2023, 106 of those were pedestrians.
- **TRCC** – There are several grants that are being pursued to aid with better collection of data. The Tyler Technologies (Enforcement Mobile) contract is coming to end, starting process for a new contract. Previous meeting was 12/9, and the next meeting is on March 19 at 10:00 AM.
- **NVACTS Update**
 - List of recommended traffic safety policy priorities for 2024 provided below. Champions for the policy priorities are needed for the Policy Priority Working Group! Need for on-going support and identification of policy priorities from task forces. They do not have to be legislative actions.

▪ Road Safety Cameras in School Zones	▪ Safe System Intersections
▪ Road Safety Cameras	▪ Traffic Records
▪ Primary Seat Belt Law	▪ Yield for Pedestrians to Stop for Pedestrians
▪ Higher Fines in School Zones	▪ Appropriate Speed Limits for All Road Users
▪ Graduated Drivers Licenses	
 - Next NVACTS meeting is Thursday, March 14. If you would like to join the NVACTS meetings or Policy Priority Working Group (meets every other Thursday), please reach out to Lacey Tisler (ltisler@nv.dot.gov), Andrew Bennett (andrew.bennett@clarkcountynv.gov) or Lindsay Saner (lindsay.saner@kimley-horn.com).
- **Agency Updates**
 - **NDOT Traffic Safety:** NDOT Director, Tracy Larkin Thomason, has committed to FHWA to pursue Proven Safety Countermeasures, with priority for wider edge lanes (6”) on rural routes and a re-commitment to local road safety plans. An emphasis on the local road

safety plans is needed since it empowers local communities and there are different needs throughout Nevada.

- **NDOT Pedestrian and Bike Safety:** Nevada Moves Day is in March. It is a celebration of walking and biking to and from school. If anyone wants to get involved reach out to Katinka Rauch, Safe Routes to School (SRTS) State Coordinator (krauch@dot.nv.gov), she will get you in contact with your safe routes coordinator in your jurisdiction.
- **NDOT Traffic Operations:** 11th edition of MUTCD (https://mutcd.fhwa.dot.gov/pdfs/11th_Edition/mutcd11thedition.pdf) was published on January 18. NDOT is reviewing and will be releasing what portions they will be adopting. Will provide an update at the next Safer Roads meeting.
- **RTC Southern Nevada:** Update on the Safe Streets for All grant. They are finalizing the procurement process for the Southern Nevada Safe Streets for All Plan. There is also a supplemental grant that RTC Streets and Highways will lead, planning for a robust update to the Design Guide and Standard Drawings in the coming months.
- **RTC Washoe:** RTC Washoe held a contest for safety messaging or safe routes messaging at their bus shelters. In their upcoming meeting they are going to announce winners that will have their work turned into bus wraps. RTC Washoe received a SS4A Implementation Grant for East 6th Street in Reno.
- **Office of Traffic Safety:** Call for Letters of Interest for 2025 Grant Applications was sent out. This call is looking for projects (non-infrastructure) that agencies want to do, which are then reviewed by OTS.
- **City of Las Vegas:** The RFQ for the Vision Zero Plan Update (using SS4A grant supplemental funds) will be coming out soon. CLV received a SS4A Implementation Grant for \$8.3M for SAFEConnect in East Las Vegas.
- **Carson City:** Received a SS4A Grant to update the Safe Routes to School Plan.
- **Safe Speeds Updates**
 - 1.3 Install dynamic speed feedback signs within transition zones, preferably with geometric improvements, to reduce speeds where speeds/crashes are an issue.
 - Sites have been identified for dynamic speed signs and striping improvements in known locations where speed issues exist.
 - Two locations on US 50 have been identified, but more research is still going on to make sure data before and after installation can be collected.
 - 2.1 Support HVE efforts with multiple-channel messaging and outreach.
 - Joining Forces Program
 - There were just under 64,000 stops made, 33,000 speed citations issued.
 - 4,645 stops were vehicles traveling over 100 mph (100-138 mph)
 - 2.2 Support legislative opportunities to curb speed and aggressive driving, such as automated enforcement in school and work zones.
 - University of Nevada, Reno transportation group, has been assisting with data gathering. The data will be provided to legislative groups.
 - Clark County Sheriff Kevin McMahill recently came out in support of traffic safety cameras. ([*Clark County Sheriff Wants Speed Cameras*](#))
- **Intersections Updates**
 - 2.1 Determine locations with high nighttime crashes and make recommendations to increase lighting.

- Locations have been identified where increase lighting is warranted in the Reno-Sparks area. Once the areas were identified based on crash data, personal knowledge, meeting certain ambient lighting threshold and travel frequency they were prioritized from 1-3, with 1 being the highest priority and 3 being a need, but not immediate.
- Request for similar project in Clark County.
- 3.2 Conduct saturation enforcement of red light running.
 - Running red lights are no longer a warning, it is an automatic citation in the Reno-Sparks area.
 - A map is going to be developed to show where citations were issued to help show if there are any other trends that might lead to running lights, like speeding.
- **Lane Departures Updates**
 - 2.1 Identify opportunities to increase survivability of run-off-the-road crashes (slope flattening, shoulder widening, and roadside object removal projects).
 - Shabnam Karambakhsh presented on the Optimal Shoulder Configuration Study, which is exploring if a shoulder can be too flat.
 - 2.2 Apply traffic incident management (TIM) strategies to minimize disruption after incidents to improve emergency response times to crashes, improve first responders safety while on scene, reduce secondary crashes through training.
 - There have been 171 first responders trained in 2023, and 70% of all first responders have been trained, which ranks Nevada number eight in the nation. The last group trained was in November.
 - March training in Carson City
 - TIM Coalitions (NNV, SNV and CAMPO) are meeting in February.
- **Work Zone Updates**
 - 2.1 Research traffic control design, specifications, Traffic Management Plan (TMP), and review processes for work zones (i.e., alignment with MUTCD standards).
 - Automated work zone enforcement using decommissioned LE vehicles (Scarecrow cars), approved in legislature last year. There will be six of them operating for the North Valleys Project.
 - Red Book updates: There has been an update about temporary speed reduction of less than three days. It is the same process of applying for a slowdown.
 - 3.1 Review projects within 0.5 mile outside of work zones which impact the safety of the corridor.
 - Maurilio Olivares (NDOT) has a project to look at safety around work zones.
- **Open Discussion**
 - FHWA [Proven Safety Countermeasures | FHWA \(dot.gov\)](#)
 - Speed safety cameras
 - Variable speed limits
 - Appropriate speed limits for all road users
 - NHTSA's [Countermeasures That Work](#) include high visibility enforcement.

- Lighting is a need in a lot of areas throughout Nevada. There is a persistent issue with copper wire theft. NDOT working to combat copper wire theft with area lighting and cameras with warning signs of the area being recorded.
- Save a Life, Win a Macbook competition is open. Information can be found at pedsafe.vegas.
- 16 pedestrians were killed in Clark County in January.
- Planning a charette for Las Vegas and Reno for Community Conversations about traffic safety.

Attachments:

- A. February 1, 2024 Meeting Attendees
- B. Summary (without attachments) from November 9, 2023 Safer Roads Key Area Meeting
- C. Monthly Fatality Report
- D. Actions and Strategies Update
- E. Optimal Shoulder Configuration Presentation
- F. Safety in Work Zones and After Presentation
- G. Red Book Updates

Links:

- [2023 Crash Facts](#)
- [Fatal Crash Data Dashboard](#)
- [Pedsafe.Vegas](#)
- [Clark County Sheriff Wants Speed Cameras](#)
- [NDOT Speed Management Action Plan](#)
- [NV Strategic Highway Safety Plan](#)
- [TIM Coalition Information](#)
- [MUTCD 11th Edition](#)
- [Proven Safety Countermeasures | FHWA \(dot.gov\)](#)
- [Countermeasures That Work | NHTSA](#)

Next Meetings:

- Nevada Advisory Committee on Traffic Safety (NVACTS) – March 14, 2:00 PM – 4:00 PM
- Intersections Action Update Meeting – Tuesday, April 2, 10:00 AM – 11:00 AM
- Lane Departures Action Update Meeting – Thursday, April 11, 10:00 AM – 11:00 AM
- Work Zones Action Update Meeting – Tuesday, April 16, 10:30 AM – 11:30 AM
- Safe Speed Action Update Meeting – Thursday, April 17, 9:00 AM – 10:00 AM
- Next Safer Roads Meeting – Thursday, May 2, 10:00 AM - 11:30 AM

Distribution: All attendees and Safer Roads Roster (February 1, 2024)

Date issued: Friday, February 9, 2024

NOTE TO RECIPIENTS: These meeting minutes record Kimley-Horn’s understanding of the meeting and intended actions arising therefrom. Your agreement that the notes form a true record of the discussion will be assumed unless adverse comments are received in writing within five days of receipt.



Safer Roads Key Area Meeting Summary



Date/Time:	Thursday, November 9, 2023 10:00 AM – 11:30 AM
Chair:	Lacey Tisler, NDOT Traffic Safety Engineering
Vice Chair Safe Speed:	Todd Hartline, DPS Office of Traffic Safety
Vice Chair Lane Departures:	Shawn Paterson, NDOT Design
Vice Chair Intersections:	Rod Schilling, NDOT Traffic Operations
Vice Chair Work Zones:	Casey Sylvester, NDOT Traffic Operations

Attendees

- See attached for the meeting attendees.

Topics

- **Summary from Last Quarterly Meeting** – *There were no comments on the summary from the last quarterly meeting.*
- **Monthly Fatality Data Update** – *The September state fatal report is attached. Nye County has a large number of crashes in 2023 compared to 2022.*
- **Preliminary Substance Involved Fatalities by County** – *The June report is attached.*
- **TRCC** – *An overview of the last meeting on September 19 was provided. There are a number of grants that are being pursued to aid with better collection of data. The group is working towards updating the SHSP crash data dashboard on an annual basis and adding consumption. The group is also moving forward on crash facts documents beyond the dashboard. Next meeting is on December 12 at 1:30 PM.*
- **Agency Updates**
 - **NDOT Traffic Safety:** *The Vulnerable Road Users Assessment will be released soon. Vulnerable Road Users include everyone who bikes, walks, or rolls outside of a vehicle.*
- **Presentation on Human Factors (Stephen Ratke)**
 - *The built environment shapes driver behavior, which includes psychological principles, target speed, high levels of caution, engaged attention, and physics as an input. There is a relationship between attention, caution, and speed.*
 - *Operation field can be defined by how eye tracking occurs at various speeds. The 60-foot width is a typical distance for what drivers are scanning while driving. However, the field of view decreases and the speed increases.*
 - *Most driving is done near at hypnotic state. Above 35 mph, separation of travel modes is necessary for safety.*

- *Stephen Ratke is a Senior Safety and Geometric Design Engineer for the FHWA Resource Center Safety and Design Technical Service Team and can be reached at (737) 308-4500 or via email at stephen.ratke@dot.gov.*
- **Safe Speeds Updates**
 - 2.1 Support HVE efforts with multiple-channel messaging and outreach.
 - **Joining Forces Program**
 - *There are 23 agencies who have participated over 2,000 hours 3,800 contacts, 2,600 speeding citations.*
 - *The 2023 total after the conclusion of the last event in September includes 13,700 contacts, 9,300 citations, 5,550 hours worked, 44 DUI arrests, 170 distracted citations issued.*
 - *There have been more than 11,500 citations over 2023 in total. Law Enforcement has had a different focus this year than in year's past so thank you for your efforts!*
 - **Grants Individualized to Agencies for 2024**
 - *OTS is working with Nye County Sheriff's Office, Las Vegas Metro, Henderson, North Las Vegas Police Department, Sparks Police Department, Washoe County Police Department, and Clark County School District for various grants. Many of these grants involve speeding and intersection safety.*
 - 2.2 Support legislative opportunities to curb speed and aggressive driving, such as automated enforcement in school and work zones.
 - *A local partner, the University of Nevada, Reno transportation group, has been identified for data gathering for automated traffic enforcement in Nevada to provide to legislators. They will use lidar as data gathering devices in Clark and Washoe counties to gather data.*
- **Intersections Updates**
 - 2.3 Support and document roadway lane reconfigurations throughout the state.
 - *RTC of Southern Nevada reviewed their standard drawings in relation to ADA standards in anticipation of PROWAG requirements. They are currently analyzing the design criteria to stand alone from the construction drawings, which the \$2 Million SS4A grant they received will allow them to implement.*
 - *RTC FAST team is working to identify (at least three) different technologies to detect when vehicles come too close to pedestrians & bicyclists. These efforts are beginning at high-crash locations to help develop heat maps and determine causes of these. Flamingo & Maryland is an intersection where this has been looked at, for example. This is expected to have a 6-month evaluation process with conclusions in the Spring or Summer of 2024.*
- **Lane Departures Updates**
 - 1.2 Statewide climbing and passing lane.
 - *Locations with an ADT over 1000 vehicles/day and trucks over 4% have been evaluated with for climbing and passing lanes. The network screening process eliminated areas within five miles of urban boundary and existing passing locations.*
 - *The recommendations will include two-mile segments where they plan to move approximately eleven projects forward.*

- 2.2 Apply traffic incident management (TIM) strategies to minimize disruption after incidents to improve emergency response times to crashes, improve first responders safety while on scene, reduce secondary crashes through training.
 - *There have been 171 first responders trained in 2023, which ranks Nevada number eight in the nation for most trained personnel this year. The group estimates that 392 personnel have been trained overall, with over 120 in November alone.*
- **Work Zone Updates**
 - 1.1 Research technology support and determine available data sources.
 - *The group is working to identify projects to incorporate data collection on speed feedback signs and additional devices. Currently, speed feedback signs collect data but there is not a process to use or analyze that data.*
 - *A contractor approached NDOT (on behalf of AGC) about implementing the collecting and analyzing data from technology devices in work zones. Two major contractors will be providing recommendations in projects.*
- **Open Discussion**
 - *A grant was awarded for a Rumble Strip Pilot Project where NDOT Traffic Operations worked with maintenance staff to install temporary transverse rumble strips during roadside maintenance in rural areas. NDOT staff members observed driver behavior and speed before and after installations using a radar gun.*
 - *The findings included two distinct driver behaviors. Drivers either slowed down by 5-10 mph or swerved around the transverse rumble strips.*
 - *The team observed challenges with equipment delivery delays. During the study period, winter last from fall 2022 to June 2023 which delayed the deployments. The Department is experiencing high vacancy rates in these rural areas so there were limited staff to set up the required traffic control for this deployment.*
 - *There is going to be a Traffic Safety Summit with rural traffic safety partners in May 2024 in Elko.*
 - *UNLV and the City of Henderson have worked together to observe driver behavior with dynamic rumble strips, where they saw a reduction of speed. The analysis included a hydraulic pump which raised rumble strips once an RRFB was actuated by pedestrians.*
 - *RTC of Southern Nevada received an SS4A Grant and is working towards identifying a consultant for the Southern Nevada Action Plan.*
 - *Mark your calendars for December 12 where NDOT Traffic Safety partners will be in Las Vegas to discuss the VRU safety assessment!*

Attachments:

- A. November 9, 2023, Meeting Attendees
- B. Summary (without attachments) from August 3, 2023 Safer Roads Key Area Meeting
- C. Monthly Fatality Report
- D. Preliminary Substance Involved Fatalities by County Report
- E. Actions and Strategies Update
- F. Human Factors for Speed Management Using a Safe Systems Approach Presentation

Next Meetings:

- Policy Priority Working Group – November 30, 8:30 AM – 9:30 AM
- Nevada Advisory Committee on Traffic Safety (NVACTS) – December 14, 2:00 PM – 4:00 PM
- Work Zones Action Update Meeting – Tuesday, January 16, 10:00 AM – 11:00 AM
- Lane Departures Action Update Meeting – Wednesday, January 18, 10:00 AM – 11:00 AM
- Intersections Action Update Meeting – Thursday, January 18, 1:00 PM – 2:00 PM
- Safe Speed Action Update Meeting – Thursday, January 25, 9:00 AM – 10:00 AM
- Next Safer Roads Meeting – Thursday, February 1, 10:00 AM - 11:30 AM

Distribution: All attendees and Safer Roads Roster (August 3, 2023)

Date issued: Thursday, November 17, 2023

NOTE TO RECIPIENTS: These meeting minutes record Kimley-Horn’s understanding of the meeting and intended actions arising therefrom. Your agreement that the notes form a true record of the discussion will be assumed unless adverse comments are received in writing within five days of receipt.

DATE OF REPORT: 1/4/2023
 DATA AS OF: 12/31/2023

TO: PUBLIC SAFETY, DIRECTOR NDOT, HIGHWAY SAFETY COORDINATOR, NDOT TRAFFIC ENGINEERING, FHWA, LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES
 FROM: THE OFFICE OF TRAFFIC SAFETY, STATE FATAL DATA
 PREPARED BY: ADAM ANDERSON, FARS ANALYST
 SUBJECT: FATALITIES BY COUNTY, PERSON TYPE, DAY, MONTH, YEAR AND PERCENT CHANGE.

Month	2022 Crashes	2023 Crashes	% Change	Month	2022 Fatafs	2023 Fatafs	% Change
JAN	20	25	25.00%	JAN	31	27	-12.90%
FEB	23	15	-34.78%	FEB	24	17	-29.17%
MAR	38	26	-31.58%	MAR	40	26	-35.00%
APR	31	37	19.35%	APR	32	40	25.00%
MAY	36	30	-16.67%	MAY	38	33	-13.16%
JUN	40	32	-20.00%	JUN	40	35	-12.50%
JUL	30	32	6.67%	JUL	31	41	32.26%
AUG	30	33	10.00%	AUG	33	36	9.09%
SEP	32	30	-6.25%	SEP	33	32	-3.03%
OCT	40	34	-15.00%	OCT	43	39	-9.30%
NOV	31	33	6.45%	NOV	35	36	2.86%
DEC	32	21	-34.38%	DEC	36	24	-33.33%
Reporting Period Total	383	348	-9.14%	Reporting Period Total	416	386	-7.21%
Year End Total	383			Year End Total	416		

KNOWN FATAL COMPARISON BETWEEN 2022 AND 2023.


COUNTY	2022 Crashes	2023 Crashes	% Change	2022 Fatalities	2023 Fatalities	% Change	2022 Occupants	2023 Occupants	% Change	2022 Unrestrained	2023 Unrestrained	% Change
CARSON	8	6	-25.00%	8	7	-12.50%	4	4	0.00%	4	0	-100.00%
CHURCHILL	12	11	-8.33%	12	13	8.33%	6	10	66.67%	3	2	-33.33%
CLARK	235	238	1.28%	258	257	-0.39%	112	113	0.89%	39	41	5.13%
DOUGLAS	6	2	-66.67%	6	2	-66.67%	5	2	-60.00%	2	0	-100.00%
ELKO	10	5	-50.00%	12	5	-58.33%	10	4	-60.00%	7	3	-57.14%
ESMERALDA	2	2	0.00%	5	2	-60.00%	5	2	-60.00%	0	0	0.00%
EUREKA	5	0	-100.00%	5	0	-100.00%	5	0	-100.00%	2	0	-100.00%
HUMBOLDT	10	4	-60.00%	12	5	-58.33%	11	4	-63.64%	4	3	-25.00%
LANDER	3	1	-66.67%	5	1	-80.00%	5	1	-80.00%	4	1	-75.00%
LINCOLN	6	4	-33.33%	6	4	-33.33%	4	4	0.00%	2	1	-50.00%
LYON	8	6	-25.00%	8	7	-12.50%	4	5	25.00%	2	3	50.00%
MINERAL	3	2	-33.33%	3	3	0.00%	3	3	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
NYE	12	17	41.67%	13	29	123.08%	9	27	200.00%	6	5	-16.67%
PERSHING	5	1	-80.00%	5	1	-80.00%	5	1	-80.00%	2	0	-100.00%
STOREY	2	0	-100.00%	2	0	-100.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
WASHOE	55	46	-16.36%	55	47	-14.55%	31	17	-45.16%	9	6	-33.33%
WHITE PINE	1	3	200.00%	1	3	200.00%	0	3	300.00%	0	1	100.00%
Reporting Period Total	383	348	-9.14%	416	386	-7.21%	219	200	-8.68%	86	66	-23.26%
Year End Total	383			416			219			86		

KNOWN COMPARISON OF FATALITIES BY PERSON TYPE BETWEEN 2022 AND 2023.

COUNTY	2022 Pedestrian	2023 Pedestrian	% Change	2022 Motorcyclist	2023 Motorcyclist	% Change	2022 Bicyclist	2023 Bicyclist	% Change	2022 Other Scooter, Moped, ATV	2023 Other Scooter, Moped, ATV	% Change
CARSON	3	2	-33.33%	1	1	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
CHURCHILL	2	1	-50.00%	4	2	-50.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
CLARK	71	82	15.49%	57	50	-12.28%	13	8	-38.46%	5	4	-20.00%
DOUGLAS	0	0	0.00%	1	0	-100.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
ELKO	0	1	100.00%	2	0	-100.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
ESMERALDA	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
EUREKA	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
HUMBOLDT	0	0	0.00%	1	1	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
LANDER	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
LINCOLN	0	0	0.00%	2	0	-100.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
LYON	1	1	0.00%	3	1	-66.67%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
MINERAL	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
NYE	1	1	0.00%	2	1	-50.00%	1	0	-100.00%	0	0	0.00%
PERSHING	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
STOREY	0	0	0.00%	2	0	-100.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
WASHOE	13	18	38.46%	10	8	-20.00%	1	4	300.00%	0	0	0.00%
WHITE PINE	0	0	0.00%	1	0	-100.00%	0	0	0.00%	0	0	0.00%
Reporting Period Total	91	106	16.48%	86	64	-25.58%	15	12	-20.00%	5	4	-20.00%
Year End Total	91			86			15			5		

THIS REPORT IS A POINT IN TIME COMPARISON
 THIS DATA DOES NOT INCLUDE DATA FIELDS MARKED BY THE OFFICER AS UNKNOWN.
 2022 DATA IS PRELIMINARY AND DOES NOT NECESSARILY INCLUDE FINAL REPORTS (FORM 5, CORONER, AND/OR TOXICOLOGY).
 2023 DATA IS NOT FINAL UNTIL THE END OF DECEMBER 2024.
 NOTE: The monthly report will be distributed by the 7th of each month.

- Key:
- Fatalities= Total number of reported fatalities (vehicle occupants, pedestrian, motorcyclist, bicyclist, and other).
 - Vehicle Occupants = Driver and occupant fatalities in a motor vehicle.
 - Vehicle Unrestrained = Driver and occupant fatalities in a motor vehicle unrestrained.
 - Pedestrian = Any person on foot, on a personal conveyance, or in a building.
 - Motorcyclist= A person riding any motor vehicle that has a seat or saddle for the use of its operator and is designed to travel on not more than three wheels in contact with the ground.
 - Bicyclist= A person on an other road vehicle that can be propelled by pedaling (bicycle, tricycle, unicycle, pedalcar, electric bike).
 - Other = A person on a scooter, moped, ATV, or other motorized vehicle not captured above on a roadway.





Key Area: Safer Roads (SR)

Critical Emphasis Area: Safe Speed (SP)

Strategy #1: Advance the use of infrastructure techniques and technology to manage target speeds and set speed limits.

No.	Description	Action Step Leader	Completion (Est.)	Status	Output Measure	Comment
1.1	Develop a statewide Speed Management Action Plan for Nevada.	Lacey Tisler (NDOT)	Aug 2022	 Completed	NDOT Speed Management Action Plan completed by 2022.	The Speed Management Action Plan has been approved by NDOT and will be posted to the website.
1.2	Implement context-sensitive speed setting approach for state-owned roadways.	Lacey Tisler (NDOT)	Jun 2025	 Moderate Progress	Establish target speeds for state-owned facilities by 2025.	End date was updated from 6-30-2024 to 6-30-2025.
1.3	Install dynamic speed feedback signs within transition zones, preferably with geometric improvements, to reduce speeds where speeds/crashes are an issue.	Lacey Tisler (NDOT) Kimberly Goodwin (NDOT)	Jun 2024	 Initiated	Select three locations to provide guidance from NDOT Speed Management Action Plan.	Status updated 1/29/2024.

Strategy #2: Utilize high-visibility speeding enforcement targeted at high-risk locations to reduce crash severity.




No.	Description	Action Step Leader	Completion (Est.)	Status	Output Measure	Comment
2.1	Support High Visibility Enforcement (HVE) efforts for aggressive driving and speed with strong multiple-channel messaging and outreach to encourage appropriate speeds.	Todd Hartline (DPS-OTS) Lacey Tisler (NDOT)	Dec 2024	 Substantial Progress	Report on the statistics from each HVE event.	End date was updated from 6-30-2022 to 12-31-2024.
2.2	Support legislative opportunities to curb speed and aggressive driving, such as automated enforcement in school and work zones.	Todd Hartline (DPS-OTS) Lacey Tisler (NDOT)	Jul 2025	 Substantial Progress	Automated enforcement legislation in the 2023 session.	End date was updated from 6-30-2023 to 7-31-2025.

Strategy #3: Improve effectiveness of education and outreach about safe speed and aggressive driving.





No.	Description	Action Step Leader	Completion (Est.)	Status	Output Measure	Comment
3.1	Use education and messaging to change culture of normalized speeding.	Todd Hartline (DPS-OTS)	Jul 2024	 Moderate Progress	One speed campaign and presentation that focuses on culture change per year.	End date was updated from 12-31-2022 to 7-31-2024.
3.2	Promote peer-to-peer outreach programs to address social norms and shared driving behaviors for all roadway users to reduce speed and aggressive driving.	Nick Nordyke (DPS-OTS)	Jun 2024	 Substantial Progress	Hold at least one peer-to-peer outreach program per year.	End date was updated from 12-31-2022 to 6-30-2024.

Critical Emphasis Area: Lane Departures (LD)

Strategy #1: Apply proven engineering countermeasures and roadway improvements to keep vehicles in their lanes.



No.	Description	Action Step Leader	Completion (Est.)	Status	Output Measure	Comment
1.1	Prioritize high-risk horizontal curves and apply countermeasures.	Jordan Daker (NDOT)	Jun 2024	 Substantial Progress	Apply countermeasures such as high-friction surface treatment (HFST) and enhanced signage.	End date was updated from 6-30-2022 to 6-30-2024.
1.2	Develop a statewide climbing and passing lane program.	Jordan Daker (NDOT)	Dec 2023	 Substantial Progress	Prepare Climbing and Passing Lane Study and prioritize locations (multi-jurisdictional).	End date was updated from 12-31-2022 to 12-31-2023.
1.3	Update rumble strip standards and guidance on new and re-rumble strip installations.	Jordan Daker (NDOT)	Jun 2024	 Initiated	Standards and guidance for new and re-rumble strip installations.	End date was updated from 6-30-2022 to 6-30-2024.

Strategy #2: Increase survivability in the event of a lane departure through engineering and emergency response.

No.	Description	Action Step Leader	Completion (Est.)	Status	Output Measure	Comment
2.1	Identify opportunities to increase survivability of run-off-the-road crashes (slope flattening, shoulder widening, and roadside object removal projects).	Shaun "Murry" Deforest (NDOT) Lacey Tisler (NDOT)	Jun 2024	 Moderate Progress	Number of projects that address slope flattening, shoulder widening and object removal.	End date was updated from 6-30-2022 to 6-30-2024.
2.2	Apply traffic incident management (TIM) strategies to minimize disruption after incidents to improve emergency response times to crashes, improve first responders safety while on scene, reduce secondary crashes through training.	Juan Hernandez (NDOT)	Jun 2024	 Moderate Progress	Increase number of responders trained.	End date was updated from 6-30-2022 to 6-30-2024.
2.3	Decrease animal vehicle collisions: prioritize problem areas with crash data (statewide assessment).	Nova Simpson (NDOT)	Jun 2024	 Moderate Progress	Report on integration of wildlife mitigation into NDOT projects and continued research on problem areas.	End date was updated from 6-30-2022 to 6-30-2024.
2.4	Identify and support technology that will increase the survivability and decrease the probability of lane departure crashes.	Juan Hernandez (NDOT)	Jun 2024	 Initiated	Document successes and crash reduction associated with technologies. Increase implementation of current technologies and identify one new technology.	End date was updated from 6-30-2022 to 6-30-2024.

Critical Emphasis Area: Intersections (I)

Strategy #1: Screen the roadway network for high-risk intersections and apply effective and/or innovative countermeasures.

No.	Description	Action Step Leader	Completion (Est.)	Status	Output Measure	Comment
1.1	Screen the network to identify the top high-risk signalized intersections.	Shara Thiesen (NDOT)	Jun 2022	 Completed	Top high-risk signalized intersections.	Intersection screening has been completed. The list has been forwarded to Jordan and Mo to complete their action steps.
1.2	Screen the network to identify the top high-risk unsignalized intersections (separated by rural and urban).	Shara Thiesen (NDOT)	Jun 2022	 Completed	Top high-risk unsignalized intersections.	Intersection screening is complete and forwarded list to Jordan and Mo.
1.3	Identify countermeasures to apply to the top high-risk signalized intersections.	Maurilio Olivares (NDOT)	Jun 2024	 Substantial Progress	Identify projects to improve safety at top high-risk signalized intersections.	End date was updated from 12-31-2023 to 6-30-2024.
1.4	Identify countermeasures to apply to the top high-risk unsignalized intersections.	Maurilio Olivares (NDOT)	Jun 2024	 Substantial Progress	Identify projects to improve safety at top high-risk unsignalized intersections.	End date was updated from 12-31-2023 to 6-30-2024.
1.5	Conduct safety analysis at unsignalized and signalized intersections throughout the state to determine potential systemic countermeasures to apply at intersections.	Maurilio Olivares (NDOT)	Jun 2024	 Substantial Progress	Systemic safety analysis and identification of proven safety countermeasures.	Status updated 1/29/2024.

Strategy #2: Screen the roadway network for high-risk segments and apply effective and/or innovative countermeasures to improve intersection safety.



No.	Description	Action Step Leader	Completion (Est.)	Status	Output Measure	Comment
2.1	Determine locations with high nighttime crashes and make recommendations to increase lighting.	Maurilio Olivares (NDOT) Xu Hao (UNR)	Mar 2024	○ Substantial Progress	Provide recommendations to add lighting to high nighttime crash locations. Work with agencies to educate them on lighting standards. Education on destination lighting in rural locations.	End date was updated from 6-30-2022 to 3-31-2024.
2.2	Determine a high-crash corridor where crashes could be mitigated through corridor access management, and identify a project to install islands to limit access. Utilize results from Safety Management Plans (SMP).	Perry Gross (NDOT)	Jun 2024	○ Substantial Progress	Determine how access management is implemented at the local level. Determine a high-crash corridor and identify a project to install islands to limit access. Access management as recommended in SMPs. Number of access management measures incorporated into NDOT Encroachment Permits.	End date was updated from 6-30-2022 to 6-30-2024.
2.3	Support and document roadway lane reconfigurations throughout the state.	Maurilio Olivares (NDOT) GenaKendall (RTCSNV)	Dec 2023	○ Substantial Progress	White paper on benefits of roadway lane reconfiguration. Determine what local agencies policies are. Reach out to agencies yearly to determine if roadway lane reconfigurations are being implemented.	End date was updated from 6-30-2022 to 12-31-2023.

Strategy #3: Conduct outreach and education initiatives for target audiences that focus on eliminating high-risk behaviors at intersections.




No.	Description	Action Step Leader	Completion (Est.)	Status	Output Measure	Comment
3.1	Support efforts for automated enforcement in the state through the use of safety cameras.	Jason Stallcop (RPD) Erin Breen (UNLV)	Jun 2025	○ Initiated	Conduct a study to prove the issue. Review hearing to determine what made the bill fail and look to make changes to address those concerns, Conduct a best practice review on automated enforcement technology, address equity in automated enforcement. (Consider calling these safety cameras).	End date was updated from 6-30-2023 to 6-30-2025.
3.2	Conduct saturation enforcement of red light running.	Jason Stallcop (RPD)	Jun 2022	○ Not Started	Number of red-light running citations reported statewide.	N/A
3.3	Support efforts for roundabout training in driver education and on driving test.	Sam Ahiamadi (NDOT)	Jun 2024	○ Moderate Progress	Review driver education materials to see if they incorporate information on roundabouts. Coordinate with the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to have roundabouts included in the driving test when they are located near a DMV.	End date was updated from 6-30-2022 to 6-30-2024.

Critical Emphasis Area: Work Zones (WZ)

Strategy #1: Increase use of data sources and innovative technology to address the causes of fatalities and serious injuries in work zones.

No.	Description	Action Step Leader	Completion (Est.)	Status	Output Measure	Comment
1	Research technology support and determine available data sources	Rod Schilling (NDOT)	Jul 2024	 Moderate Progress	Summary of research	End date was updated from 7-30-2024 to 7-31-2024.
2	Analyze crash data, provide recommendations, and develop strategies to support better decision-making.	Matthew Williams (NDOT)	Apr 2025	 Initiated	Set of education/communication/outreach strategies to inform construction workers/project managers/etc. on ways to improve safety within work zones.	End date was updated from 6-1-2024 to 4-30-2025.

Strategy #2: Increase effectiveness of Traffic Control Work Zones

No.	Description	Action Step Leader	Completion (Est.)	Status	Output Measure	Comment
1	Research traffic control design, specifications, Traffic Management Plan (TMP), and review processes for work zones (i.e. alignment with MUTCD standards).	Maurilio Olivares (NDOT) Casey Sylvester (NDOT)	Nov 2024	 Moderate Progress	Summary of findings based on areas of improvement and design best practices.	Have specs for speed feedback signs and for begin/end of work zone presence devices in projects that advertise beginning in Nov 2023. Likelihood of getting this out by Nov 5th are low however expect to be using these specifications sometime in November.
2	Measure effectiveness of traffic control layouts (Identify an agency to champion this effort?)	John Penuelas (RTCSNV)	Jun 2025	 Not Started	Develop strategies to review traffic control plans for conformance with national standards.	N/A
3	Evaluate the feasibility for using automated enforcement within a work zone as a pilot project.	Lacey Tisler (NDOT)	Dec 2025	 Initiated	Summary of findings with recommendations for use.	Status has been updated from Not Started to Initiated.

Strategy #3: Future Consideration: Determine impacts of work zones on capacity, mobility and safety downstream of the work zone

No.	Description	Action Step Leader	Completion (Est.)	Status	Output Measure	Comment
1	Review projects within 0.5 mile outside of work zones which impact the safety of the corridor.	Maurilio Olivares (NDOT)	Jun 2025	<input type="radio"/> Not Started	Summary of impacts that construction zones have on safety within the vicinity of a work zone.	N/A

NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

RESEARCH PROBLEM STATEMENT

Internal Submission Form (not to exceed 3 pages with font size 11)

- I. **PROBLEM TITLE (Required):** The optimal shoulder for reducing speed related and lane departure crashes.
- II. **PROBLEM DESCRIPTION (10 Points):** Speed-related and lane departure crashes are significant contributors to road crashes, leading to injuries, fatalities, and substantial economic costs. Therefore, understanding how shoulder slope impacts road safety is of paramount importance. This study is prompted by the presence of fatal rollover crashes occurring in newly constructed and flattened road conditions in US-95 MM10 to MM69 Nye County.
- III. **OBJECTIVE (Required):** The primary objective of this study is to comprehensively evaluate and determine the most suitable shoulder slope configuration for mitigating speed-related and lane departure crashes on highways. To achieve this overarching goal, the research aims to:
- **Define Optimal Slope Geometry:** Identify the ideal shoulder slope angle and design that enhances vehicle stability, facilitates driver recovery during lane departure crashes, and minimizes the severity of speed-related crashes.
 - **Analyze Driver Response to Slope:** Investigate how the choice of shoulder slope influences driver behavior during lane departure scenarios, with a focus on whether specific slope types increase the likelihood of drivers regaining control.
 - **Assess Safety Performance:** Evaluate the comparative safety performance of various shoulder slope designs by quantifying their effectiveness in reducing both the frequency and severity of speed-related and lane departure crashes.
 - **Conduct Economic Evaluation:** Examine the construction and maintenance costs associated with different shoulder slope configurations and determine their cost-effectiveness in relation to potential savings resulting from reduced crash rates.
 - **Consider Environmental Impact:** Assess the environmental implications of diverse shoulder slope designs, encompassing considerations related to land use, drainage, and ecological factors.
 - **Provide Policy Recommendations:** Derive evidence-based policy recommendations and guidelines from the research findings to inform highway design standards and advocate for the adoption of safer shoulder slope configurations by relevant transportation authorities and policymakers.
 - **Final Report:** Compile research results into a final report.

IV. **CURRENT PRACTICE and RELATED RESEARCH (10 Points):**

Road Design Guide (NDOT), 2019:

- Roadside slopes are the inclines of areas beside the road shoulder, positioned between the shoulder and the right-of-way boundary. To enhance safety, it is preferable to design relatively flat areas adjacent to the road to reduce the risk of accidents like vehicle turnovers, vaulting, or collisions with drainage channels. The Department establishes

appropriate side slope limits for roads based on their intended function and use, as detailed

Table 3.12

Height (Feet)	Cut Slopes*		Fill Slopes
	Fore Slope	Back Slope	
0 to 5	10:1	10:1	10:1
5 to 10	6:1	6:1	6:1
10 to 15	4:1 or flatter	4:1	4:1
Over 15	4:1 or flatter	2:1**	2:1**

*Refer to Roadside Design Guide 2011, Figure 3-6 for preferred channel cross sections

**Slopes steeper than 2:1 require a Geotechnical evaluation

in Table 3.12.

- Foreslopes, which run parallel to traffic flow, can be categorized as recoverable, non-recoverable, or critical.
- Recoverable foreslopes are those with a gradient of 4:1 or flatter, and if they are smooth and traversable, clear-zone distances can be determined from "Table 3-1. Suggested Clear-Zone Distances in Meters (Feet) from Edge of Through Traveled Lane" in the 2011 Roadside Design Guide, Page 3-3.
- Non-recoverable foreslopes are traversable but difficult for most vehicles to stop or return to the roadway from. These typically have slopes between 3:1 and 4:1.
- Critical foreslopes are those where errant vehicles are more likely to overturn, and they usually have slopes steeper than 3:1. More details on these foreslopes can be found in Section 3.2.1 of the 2011 Roadside Design Guide, Pages 3-4 and 3-5.

A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Street, 7th edition, 2018:

- Well-designed and adequately maintained shoulders are essential for rural highways with significant traffic, freeways, and high-speed urban highways.




Figure 4-4. Graded and Usable Shoulders

V. IMPLEMENTATION POTENTIAL (10 Points):

Maintenance Protocols: To utilize the study's findings effectively, NDOT should establish and adhere to regular inspection and maintenance protocols for road shoulders. These protocols should focus on mitigating erosion, minimizing debris accumulation, and ensuring the stability of shoulders. By proactively addressing these issues, NDOT can enhance road safety and reduce the risk of fatal rollover crashes.

Leveraging Shoulder Slope Assessment: By evaluating the geometry, gradient, and overall condition of shoulder slopes, NDOT can pinpoint areas requiring improvement to create a safer roadside environment.

Applying Engineering Solutions: NDOT can apply engineering solutions informed by the study's results to address shoulder slope concerns effectively. These solutions may entail modifying the shoulder slope angle, enhancing road design, or improving the overall road geometry. The objective is to create a roadside environment that is more forgiving to drivers, reducing the likelihood of rollover crashes.

VI. URGENCY and PAYOFF POTENTIAL (10 Points each, Total 20 Points): The urgency of conducting this research in the current fiscal year is underscored by the alarming toll on human lives. In the span of just 7 months, from January 2023 to July 2023, our region has witnessed a staggering 12 fatal crashes. These tragic incidents represent a profound loss of life, and they serve as a compelling call to action for immediate research and intervention.

The frequency of these fatal crashes highlights an urgent need for solutions.

In conclusion, the urgency of addressing this issue is indisputable, driven by the unfortunate toll of 14 fatal crashes in a short timeframe. Conducting this research in the current fiscal year is not only a moral imperative but also a practical necessity to safeguard lives, reduce economic burdens, and instill a sense of security in our community.

VII. DATE and SUBMITTED BY (Required):

September 28, 2023

Lacey Tisler, P.E.
Chief Traffic Safety Engineer
Nevada Department of Transportation
775.888.7459
ltisler@dot.nv.gov

Jordan Daker
Supervisor III Associate Engineer
Nevada Department of Transportation
775.888.7333
jedaker@dot.nv.gov

Shabnam Karambakhsh
Associate Engineer

Traffic Safety Engineering Division
Nevada Department of Transportation
775.888.7241
skarambakhsh@dot.nv.gov

VIII. ADDITIONAL 10 POINTS TOTAL FOR MULTIPLE CHAMPIONS FROM MORE THAN ONE SECTION

Paterson, Shawn
Manager 1, Prof. Engineer
Design Division
Nevada Department of Transportation
775-888-7655
SPaterson@dot.nv.gov

FIVE STAGES OF RESEARCH DEPLOYMENT

Based on Caltrans Research and Innovation Stages

1. Concept Stage

- First steps following Problem Statement and Proposal Development
- Includes detailed literature search
- Involves experimental design, data collection, analysis, and reporting
- Assesses results of research
- Defines barriers to implementation (e.g., policies, specifications, standards)
- Submits a Final Report and outlines a recommended implementation plan
- Includes collaboration with outside agencies or other state DOTs and US DOT (Applies to all Stages of Deployment)

2. Laboratory Prototype Stage

- Develops breadboard circuit or computer system modeling
- Demonstrates operation in laboratory setting
- May incorporate customized or one-of-a kind components
- Assesses results
- Submits Final Report and recommends design of full-scale demonstration
- Potential end users are enlisted to support the field pilot stage

3. Controlled Field Demonstration Stage

- Prepares for full scale testing of demonstration project
- Controlled tests at specialized facilities are observed and supported by cooperating agencies, industry, and technical associations
- Potential end users are enlisted to support the field pilot stage
- Assesses results
- Submits Final Report and recommends site/conditions for first application pilot stage

4. First Application (Contract) Field Pilot Stage

- Works with potential end users to select site and to conduct pilot testing under real world operating conditions
- Test specifications and standards are developed
- Research assistance given to assure proper installation and operation
- Problems are corrected and adjustments made, as necessary, to complete pilot testing
- To the extent possible, potential end users operate the project under careful research surveillance
- Assesses results
- Submits Final Report and recommends initial sites for full corporate deployment
- Potential end users are enlisted to support the field pilot stage

5. Specification & Standards with Full Corporate Deployment Stage

- End users select site(s) and deploy the method/process/equipment using resident management, supervision, staff, and contracting forces (where applicable)
- Deployment is without research supervision or direction
- On call assistance is available upon request
- Assesses results



Construction Zone Safety Impacts





1/29/2024

1

— Work Zone Driving Safety

- Work zone crashes claim three lives every day in the U.S.
- Work zones are there to improve the roads we all drive on



2

Goals

- Keep work zone impacts to a minimal level
- Keep agencies from being surprised after project is underway
- Consider potential impacts due to the work zone from the early stages of the project and will develop appropriate strategies to mitigate those impacts



3

Types of Impacts

- Worker Safety
- Road Network Impacts (travel time, delay, etc.)
- Motorist Safety
- Transit Routes
- Pedestrian and Bicyclist Access and Safety
- Business Access
- Capacity Reduction



4

Safety Impacts

- Road user exposure to hazards
- Worker exposure to traffic
- Change in geometrics
- Speed reduction



5

Unsafe Conditions

- Lack of positive guidance within work zone
- Signage and sign spacing not suitable to the prevailing speed
- Sudden lane changes and other geometric changes
- Inadequate worker protection
- Inadequate lighting for night work either for workers or at key driver decision points



6

Impacts by Area

- Urban
 - More detour routes
 - More recurring congestion
 - Variety of traffic
 - Scheduling restrictions
 - Right-of-Way restrictions
 - Non-motorized issues
- Rural
 - Fewer, longer detour routes
 - Less recurring congestion
 - Motorists unfamiliar with the road and the area
 - Heavy vehicle composition



7

Why Assess Work Zone Impacts?

- Identify and understand expected impacts
- Compare project alternatives
- Identify strategies to manage expected impacts
- Estimate costs and allocate appropriate resources



8

How Should Results of Impacts Assessment Be Used?

- Identify critical issues to address in development of TMP
- Identify strategies for the TMP that will minimize impacts
- Formulate policies



9



10



JOE LOMBARDO
Governor

STATE OF NEVADA
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

1263 S. Stewart Street
Carson City, Nevada 89712

TRACY LARKIN THOMASON, P.E.
Director

11/02/2023

Khoa Nguyen
Division Administrator
FHWA Nevada Division
705 N. Plaza Street, Suite 220
Carson City, Nevada 89701


Dear Mr. Nguyen:

Please find the attached October 2023 revision to the Department's *Work Zone Safety and Mobility Implementation Guide*, with Summary of changes attached. The review took place over six months with representatives from nine Divisions of NDOT, including each District, and FHWA staff.

The latest update includes revised provisions for development of a Transportation Management Plan (TMP) that meets the requirements of 23 CFR 630 Subparts J and K. This update also provides changes to increase clarity on TMP processes and defines policy on work zone devices and strategies not discussed previously. The *Work Zone Safety and Mobility Implementation Guide* will continue to be applied to all projects on NDOT maintained roads, regardless of funding sources.

If you require any further information please feel free to contact me at CSylvester@dot.nv.gov or at (775) 888-7563.

Sincerely,

DocuSigned by:

439EAF7F373549E...

Casey Sylvester

Principal Signs, Striping, and Traffic Control Engineer, NDOT

CC: Rod Schilling, Traffic Operations, NDOT
Jae Pullen, Traffic Operations, NDOT
Tracy Larkin-Thomason, Director, NDOT
Jeff Lerud, Deputy Director, NDOT
Darin Tedford, Deputy Director, NDOT
Scott Hein, Assistant Director, NDOT
Sam Dowd, Roadway Design, NDOT
Nick Johnson, Project Management, NDOT
Sam Lompa, Construction, NDOT
Mario Gomez, District 1, NDOT
Bhupinder Sandhu, District 2, NDOT
Sami Yousuf, District 3, NDOT
Anita Bush, Maintenance and Asset Management, NDOT
Meg Ragonese, Public Information, NDOT
Juan Balbuena, FHWA



1263 South Stewart Street
Carson City, Nevada 89712
Phone: (775) 888-7080
Fax: (775) 888-7090

MEMORANDUM

Traffic Operations Division

11/02/2023

To: Tracy Larkin Thomason, P.E., Director
From: Rod Schilling P.E., P.T.O.E., Chief Traffic Operations Engineer ^{DS}
Subject: NDOT Work Zone Safety & Mobility Implementation Guide (2023 Edition)

The updated statewide Work Zone Safety & Mobility Implementation Guide (Red Book) is attached. These standards establish the fundamental principles, roles, responsibilities, and procedures that are to be followed by each district and division as published per the Work Zone Safety and Mobility (Subpart J) Rule on September 9, 2004, in the Federal Register (23 CFR 630) and the Final Rule on Temporary Traffic Control Devices (Subpart K) in the Federal Register (23 CFR 630) on December 5, 2007.

While these rules apply specifically to Federal-aid highway projects, it is the Nevada Department of Transportation's intent to follow the rules for all work zones on state-maintained roadways.

These standards specifically focus on enhancing the efficiency of the TMP process while meeting the requirements defined within the Work Zone Safety & Implementation Guide and are an update to the previous revisions to this manual in March 2012, 2015, 2019 and 2021 as part of the federally required biennial process review. The updated standards were developed with the participation of each District, Roadway Design, Traffic Safety Engineering, Construction, Public Information, Project Management, and FHWA Operations and Safety. Outreach was conducted with each of these stakeholders, and virtual meetings were held to collectively gather each perception of successful practices as well as areas of potential improvement. Input and comments generated by this process have been incorporated into the document.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at 888-7863.

Recommended Approval:

Approved:

DocuSigned by:

EOC1947A442E435...

Jeff Lerud, P.E., CPM
Deputy Director – Operations & Maintenance

DocuSigned by:

59F62CD438AD46A...

Deputy Director, Darin Tedford - For
Tracy Larkin Thomason, P.E.

Director

Comments: No comments. Thank you.

No comments.

- cc: Sam Dowd, Roadway Design
- Nicholas Johnson, Project Management
- Sam Lompa, Construction
- Lacey Tisler, Safety Engineering
- Anita Bush, Maintenance and Asset Management
- Mario Gomez, District 1
- Bhupinder Sandhu, District 2
- Sami Yousuf, District 3
- Meg Ragonese, Public Information
- Casey Sylvester, Traffic Operations
- Jae Pullen, Traffic Operations
- Juan Balbuena, FHWA Nevada Division



SUMMARY OF UPDATES

WORK ZONE SAFETY AND MOBILITY IMPLEMENTATION GUIDE (RED BOOK) 2023 UPDATE

This document lists the changes made to the Work Zone Safety and Mobility Implementation Guide as part of the required biannual update. Grammatical changes or other trivial changes to format that do not affect policy or practice will not be included in this summary.

Section 2.5.3

Text changes to clarify contractor and Resident Engineer responsibilities when setting up temporary traffic control (TTC) to match similar text in the Standard Specifications.

Section 2.5.4

Section on temporary speed reductions modified to explicitly allow speed reduction approvals for groups of activities.

Section 2.5.8

Section on temporary transverse rumble strips modified to provide additional restrictions on rumble strip use and clarify existing restrictions.

Section 2.5.12

Section added to document process and roles relating to closures of interstates of greater than 8 hours.

Section 2.5.14

Section on temp signals and flagging added to document use case and considerations relating to implementation.

Section 3.1

Added specific information on approved equivalent training programs for Traffic Control Technician and Traffic Control Supervisor.

Section 4.1.1

Introductory section on Planning level development of TMP modified to better describe TMP standards, format, and purpose.

Section 4.4.3

Section on TMP approvals modified to reflect TC Memo and Processing Memo steps.

Section 4.5.3

Modified section on data collection and Modeling approach to better explain limits of delay and stop time rules for freeways and arterials. Added section to discuss vulnerable road users and how TTC will impact them in project TMPs.

Appendix E – Work Zone Speed Mitigations Matrix

Added reduced threshold for short duration work of less than 3 days. Added columns for use of Truck Mounted Attenuator, Queue Detection, and Flares.

Work Zone Safety & Mobility Implementation Guide

October 19, 2023



Joe Lombardo
Governor

Tracy Larkin-Thomason, P.E.
Director

Nevada Department of Transportation
1263 S. Stewart Street
Carson City, Nevada 89712
(775) 888-7000
www.nevadadot.com

List of Acronyms

AADT	Annual Average Daily Traffic
AASHTO	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
ATSSA	American Traffic Safety Services Association
CCTV	Closed-Circuit Television
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CMS	Changeable Message Sign
DMS	Dynamic Message Sign
EOP	Emergency Operating Procedures
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FR	Federal Register
HAR	Highway Advisory Radio
HOV	High Occupancy Vehicle
ITE	Institute of Transportation Engineers
ITS	Intelligent Transportation System(s)
LPA	Local Public Agency
MASH	Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware
MOTAA	Maintenance of Traffic Alternative Analysis
MOT	Maintenance of Traffic
MUTCD	Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices
NCHRP	National Cooperative Highway Research Program
NDOT	Nevada Department of Transportation – or The Department
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
PI	Public Information
PPCBR	Portable Precast Concrete Barrier Rail
QA	Quality Assurance
TRB	Transportation Research Board
TTC	Temporary Traffic Control
TMA	Transportation Management Area
TMC	Transportation Management Center
TMP	Transportation Management Plan
TO	Transportation Operations
USDOT	United States Department of Transportation

Table of Contents

List of Acronyms

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 Authority
- 1.2 Purpose
- 1.3 Scope
- 1.4 Definitions
- 1.5 Project Significance Assessment
- 1.6 Types of TMPs
 - 1.6.1 Maintenance Division or District Maintenance Projects/Activities
- 1.7 Audience
- 1.8 Update Procedure
- 1.9 Fundamental Principles
- 1.10 Responsibility
- 1.11 References

2.0 Policy

- 2.1 Applicable NRS for work zone
- 2.2 Transportation Policy Referenced
- 2.3 Transportation Management Plan Approval
- 2.4 Standard Procedures Applicable to Work Zones
 - 2.4.1 Routine Procedures
 - 2.4.2 Standard Specifications Applicable to Work Zones
- 2.5 Policies Applicable to Work Zones
 - 2.5.1 Policies for Alternate TC plan delivery
 - 2.5.2 TTC plan development
 - 2.5.3 Safety Measures for WZ Implementation
 - 2.5.4 Temporary Speed Reduction
 - 2.5.5 Positive Protection Devices
 - 2.5.6 Uniformed Law Enforcement
 - 2.5.7 Work Zone ITS
 - 2.5.8 Temporary Transverse Rumble Strips
 - 2.5.9 MOT checklist
 - 2.5.10 Ghost Striping
 - 2.5.11 Coordination with Adjacent Projects

3.0 Training Requirement

- 3.1 General

4.0 Development of Transportation Management Plan (TMP)

- 4.1 Planning Development of TMP
 - 4.1.1 General
 - 4.1.2 Development
 - 4.1.2.1 Project Budget
 - 4.1.2.2 Scope of Work
- 4.2 Preliminary Development of TMP

- 4.2.1 General
- 4.2.2 Develop TMP
 - 4.2.2.1 Create TMP Team
 - 4.2.2.2 Identify Stakeholders
 - 4.2.2.3 Compile Project Material
 - 4.2.2.4 Determine TMP Needs
 - 4.2.2.5 Preliminary Traffic Control Meeting
- 4.2.3 Outcome
 - 4.2.3.1 Determine Project Significance
 - 4.2.3.2 Preliminary Work Zone Strategies
 - 4.2.3.3 Validate Scope and Budget
- 4.2.4 Resources

- 4.3 Intermediate Development of TMP
 - 4.3.1 General
 - 4.3.2 Develop TMP
 - 4.3.2.1 TTC Intermediate Plan Development
 - 4.3.2.2 TO Strategies Development
 - 4.3.2.3 PI Strategies Development
 - 4.3.3 Outcome
 - 4.3.4 Resources

- 4.4 Final Development of TMP
 - 4.4.1 General
 - 4.4.2 Finalize TMP
 - 4.4.3 Outcome

- 4.5 TMP Documentation
 - 4.5.1 General
 - 4.5.2 Responsibilities
 - 4.5.3 Potential Elements in a TMP Document

5.0 Implementation of TMP

- 5.1 General
- 5.2 Processes and Personnel
- 5.3 Outcome
- 5.4 Post Construction Reviews

6.0 Incident Management

- 6.1 Overview
- 6.2 TMP Information

Appendix A – Strategy Tables

Appendix B – TMP Examples

Appendix C – MOT Red Flag Checklist

Appendix D – Example FHWA Interstate Closure Memo

Appendix E – Work Zone Speed Mitigations Matrix

Work Zone Safety and Mobility Implementation Guide

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Authority

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) published the Work Zone Safety and Mobility Rule (the Rule) on September 9, 2004 in the Federal Register (69 FR 54562). This Rule updates and renames the former regulation on “Traffic Safety in Highway and Street Work Zones” in 23 CFR 630, Subpart J referred to as “Work Zone Safety and Mobility Rule” and Sub part K referred to as “Temporary Traffic Control Devices Rule”. The Safety and Mobility Rule was effective January 1, 2008 and subpart K was effective March 1, 2009. The Nevada Department of Transportation is authorized by N.R.S. 408.100 (Declaration of legislative intent.), N.R.S. 408.210 (Powers of director: Closing and construction of highways; removal of encroachments.) and N.R.S. 408.423 (Permit required to excavate state highway; exception; fee.) to implement the Rule.

1.2 Purpose

Establish the fundamental principles, roles, responsibilities and procedures for systematically addressing the safety and mobility impacts of work zones and developing strategies to help manage these impacts. The principals and procedures of the Rule and Work Zone Safety and Mobility Implementation Guide are to be followed by each District and Division.

The Work Zone Safety and Mobility Implementation Guide supersede all policies and procedures previously established for Temporary Traffic Control (TTC) and Temporary Traffic Control Zones (Work Zones).

1.3 Scope

While the Rule applies specifically to Federal-aid highway projects, it is the Nevada Department of Transportation’s intent to follow this rule for all work zones on state maintained roadways. These procedures shall be implemented on all federal and non-federal aid construction and maintenance projects, including permits and those administered by Local Public Agencies (LPA) anticipated to have work zone impacts.

1.4 Definitions

Informal Project - A project estimated to cost less than \$250,000. Approval for informal Transportation Management Plans (TMP) may be granted by the District Traffic Engineer, or designated representative residing over the area where the project is constructed.

Significant Project - Is defined as one that, alone or in combination with other concurrent projects nearby is anticipated to cause sustained work zone impacts greater than what is considered tolerable based on Department guidelines and engineering judgment. **Significant Projects** usually require a Major TMP consisting of three parts; a Temporary Traffic Control

plan, Transportation Operations strategies, and Public Information strategies.

Transportation Management Area (TMA) - Is an urbanized area with a population of more than 200,000 residents. In Nevada these areas are Las Vegas and Reno. The following counties will also be considered as a TMA urbanized area: Clark, Carson, Douglas, Lyon, Storey and Washoe. In the TMA urbanized areas, any project located on an interstate or US designated roadway will be considered significant and will require a Major TMP.

Transportation Management Plan (TMP) - Lays out a set of coordinated transportation management strategies and describes how they will be used to manage the work zone impacts. A TMP is required on all projects and may be comprised of one or more of the following elements; a Temporary Traffic Control (TTC) plan, Transportation Operations (TO) strategies and Public Information (PI) strategies. These elements are integrated into a single document that demonstrates an understanding of site specific issues and project requirements. A TMP shall be submitted prior to placement of TTC devices, and shall make provision for updates and revisions throughout the project lifecycle to address issues as they occur.

Temporary Traffic Control (TTC) Plan - TTC plan is used for managing traffic through a work zone. The TTC will follow Department and Federal Standards and Guidance for the layout and placement of traffic control devices, signs, and related equipment for the project. The degree of detail in the TTC would depend on the project complexity and traffic interference with construction activity.

Temporary Traffic Control Zone (Work Zone) – The area of a roadway with construction, maintenance, or utility work activities. A work zone is typically marked by signs, channelizing devices, barriers, pavement markings, and/or work vehicles. It extends from the first warning sign or high-intensity rotating, flashing, oscillating, or strobe lights on a vehicle to the END ROAD WORK sign or the last TTC device.

Transportation Operations (TO) Strategies - The TO component addresses transportation operations strategies that will be used to ease work zone impact areas. The TO component contains agreements or instructions between stakeholders that describe how a transportation asset is to be used or modified during the construction of a project.

Public Information (PI) Strategies - The PI component consists of strategies that address communication with the public before and during the project. The PI plan will inform those affected by the project of anticipated work zone impacts and changing conditions.

Incident Management – Work zone safety is complicated by traffic incidents or other emergencies that occur within the work zone. The policies and procedures that govern the management of incidents is called Incident Management. Incident management is led by 1st responders.

1.5 Project Significance Assessment

In accordance with the Rule and The Department objectives, all projects necessitating work zone establishment under the Department jurisdiction and oversight shall follow the procedures in this Manual. A project that is deemed “significant” requires a high level of work zone impact mitigation including TTC plan, TO Strategies, and PI Strategies. A project that is not found to be “significant” requires fewer mitigation strategies. The most basic projects require only a work zone traffic control plan.

The first steps in developing a Transportation Management Plan (TMP) for a work zone is to determine the level of Significance. This first step is referred to as the Project Significance Determination. This procedure determines whether a project is defined as Significant or Non-Significant. It should be noted that if a project is determined to be Significant, it does not necessarily mean that the development of the TMP will be a time-consuming or exhausting effort. If a project is determined to be Significant, it simply means that additional mitigation strategies should be implemented to reduce congestion and improve safety within the work zone. Often, these strategies are things that the Department is already doing for many work zones. Excluded projects and types of work for which standing TMPs may be used are discussed in 1.5.2 below.

In order to complete the determination of significance, the project is categorized into one of two groups:

- a) **Significant Project** - Requires a high level of work zone impact mitigation. Requires a Temporary Traffic Control plan (TTC plan, or TTC) as well as consideration and use of both TMP strategies to help mitigate the impacts of a significant project:
 - TO Strategies
 - PI Strategies
- b) **Non-Significant Project** - Requires varying levels complexity ranging from only a TTC plan, up to TTC plan with both strategies, as needed.

The basic process of significance determination is outlined in Figure 1.1. See section 1.5.2 for types of TMPs.


If a project’s traffic control will not involve a lane closure and is otherwise not determined to have unacceptable impacts, the project will not be considered significant. In this case, the project is **non-significant**. **Non-significant projects** will have either a **Moderate TMP** or a **Basic TMP**.

A **Significant Project** is one for which any of the following criteria exist:

- a) Any project on the interstate system located within a recognized TMA that occupies a given location for at least three days duration with either continuous or intermittent lane closures.
- b) Any project of any duration on an interstate route or any route with an AADT of at least 50,000 vehicles per day for which all lanes in one direction will be closed to traffic.
- c) Any project for which the delay through the limits of the work zone is at least 30 minutes above the normal delay under typical non-work conditions.

- d) Any project deemed Significant by extraordinary qualitative characteristics. This determination may be made on the basis of conditions such as high levels of public interest, business/community impacts, or long work zone duration. All Significant Projects defined in this manner shall only be done with careful consideration and strategic decision making.

Figure 1.1: Project Significance Flowchart



The Significance determination helps to ensure the appropriate level of TMP strategies to be applied to each work zone.

Classification as a Significant Project distinguishes a project as one requiring a high degree of work zone impact mitigation. The project is anticipated to affect large numbers of roadway users, cause excessive delays, and/or present at least one of several qualitative impacts to the transportation system or affected community. Due to the impacts introduced by a Significant Project, special consideration must be made to minimize its negative effects. These considerations, or strategies, can be categorized as TO strategies, and/or PI strategies, which make up two parts of the TMP. The TTC plan is the third and final part of the TMP. Guidance for the development of a project TMP can be found in Part 4 of this guide.

When a project is determined to be Non-Significant that does not mean that its work zone impacts are unimportant or should be disregarded. Rather, the TMP of a Non-significant project will generally not provide mitigation strategies at the same level as the Major TMP. Additionally,

some components of a Non-Significant project's TMP may be pre-defined or standardized to simplify the TMP development for common small-scale work zones.

The FHWA's Rule allows a project defined as a Significant Project to be excluded from the requirements of a Major TMP if it is approved by FHWA's Nevada Division Office. If a project meets the definition of a Significant Project, but careful consideration of either qualitative or quantitative work zone characteristics predicts minor impacts, completion of a Major TMP may not be required. For a Significant Project to be exempt from Major TMP completion, a written request must be submitted to FHWA's Nevada Division office by the Project Manager and corroborated by the Department Division Manager, or by the Department Division Manager. The justification should include specific and quantifiable measures of effectiveness documenting how the project would not be expected to create sustained work zone impacts. For multiple projects of the same type that are not expected to exhibit considerable safety or mobility impacts, a blanket exemption request may be submitted. A blanket exemption should be created in the same manner as an individual project request.


1.6 Types of TMPs

TMPs will be used to plan transportation management strategies to meet both the Department's performance measures goals and the requirements of the Rule. The impacts that a work zone is expected to have on a roadway or a community vary from project to project, so no two TMPs will be exactly the same. The level of planning required for an individual TMP will depend on the project's anticipated impacts.

The NDOT Work Zone Safety and Mobility Implementation Guide uses a TMP to define the strategies to be used in the mitigation of work zone impacts. Whether defined as Significant or Non-Significant, a TMP must be completed for all projects having a work zone, unless classified as exempt.

The second step in developing a TMP (after determining significance) for a work zone is to determine the appropriate level of TMP to be utilized. Projects can be divided into three separate groups:

- **Basic TMP Projects** requires a site specific TTC plan. TO and PI strategies may be included if they are only Routine Department procedures as defined in 2.4.1.
- **Moderate TMP Projects** require additional planning, coordination, etc. beyond a Basic TMP, but not to the level of a Major TMP. The Moderate TMP typically includes TTC plan and a document for project scope, traffic characteristics, location and other details but only have minor or Routine TO and PI strategies. See Figure 1.2.
- **Major TMP Projects** require a higher level of work zone impact mitigation and require consideration of various TMP strategies to help mitigate the impacts of a significant project. Major TMPs require three parts, a TTC plan, TO strategies, and PI strategies. Typically, the two strategies are discussed in a single document that also explains the project scope, location, and other project specific details. The TTC plan is a companion document that, when combined with the strategies document make a complete TMP. Unless FHWA Exception is issued, all Significant projects will have a Major TMP.

Figure 1.2: Transportation Management Plan (TMP) Type Flowchart**“Standing” TMP for Typical, Recurring Activities/Projects**

This additional class of activities/projects has been identified as those that are typical and regularly performed by Design staff or contracted workforces. The projects are characterized as having the potential to impact traffic operations due to required lane closures or roadside/shoulder work. They may include, but are not limited to Emergency Repairs, Resurfacing, Noise Wall and Slope Repair projects, roadway marking refresh, Bridge Inspection, Bridge Painting, Deck Patching, and Joint Repair.

The “standing” TMP methodology **SHALL NOT** be considered for a project/activity that meets the following conditions:

- ✓ On an Interstate system or US designated route within a Transportation Management Area (TMA), AND
- ✓ Having a project/activity duration longer than three days, AND
- ✓ Includes use of lane closures (intermittent or continuous).

If a project/activity developed by the Design Division, Maintenance and Asset Management Division, or District Office meets the above criteria, the project shall follow the Department’s TMP procedures as previously described. Otherwise the “standing” TMP will consist of the following:

- A. TTC Plans** per current Department standard drawings which should follow the current version of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD), Part VI (a custom traffic control plan may be developed as deemed necessary).
- B. Work Zone Standard General Notes** shall be considered and followed per current Department procedures.
- C.** Where lane closures will be necessary as part of work effort, **Public Information Outreach** and/or **Time-of-Day Restrictions** on lane closures should be considered.

An opportunity occurs later in the project development process for a project's significance determination to be verified. Projects that are the responsibility of the Department's Design or Project Management Divisions, are to undergo a secondary significance determination since there is potential for a project's scope to change during preliminary design.

The following maintenance activities are examples of TYPICAL, RECURRING projects/activities that may qualify for "standing" TMP consideration:

- Pavement Patching/Pot-Hole Repair Joint Repair
- Pavement Marking Work Sign Repair/Replacement
- Attenuator Installation/Repair Guardrail Installation/Repair
- Shoulder Repair/Construction "No Plans" Contract Activities
- "On Call" Contract Activities Tunnel Maintenance

These types of projects will not require completion of the Project Significance Determination or TMP development. A custom TMP for each occurrence of these activities will not be required. However, these projects/activities must include provisions for appropriate TTC Plans as outlined and shall follow the Department's PI Plan where deemed necessary by the Assistance District Maintenance Engineer and interested division managers (particularly where lane closures are implemented).

1.6.1 Maintenance & Asset Management Division or District Maintenance Projects/Activities

It has been determined that projects originating within the Maintenance & Asset Management Division (M&AM) or District Maintenance Office should have a different approach. This is because of the repetitive nature of much of the work and the fact that many of the activities are not considered actual "projects" with planning and design phases. Many M&AM or District Maintenance Office activities have minimal impact on motorists. In addition, there are many instances where repair and rehabilitation activities must be completed within a short period of time.

To address these issues, two groups of activities/projects have been identified that will either be exempt from the TMP process or be covered by existing TTC and PI procedures. The latter will be addressed using a "Standing TMP" approach.

○ Exempt Activities

The following list of Department Maintenance activities identifies typical work that is exempt from the TMP requirement. This list may be revised by adding or removing activities as the department deems appropriate.

The following maintenance activities are proposed for exclusion; *project significance determination and TMP development not required:*

- Brush Control/Mulching (Roadside) Vegetation Spraying (Roadside)
- Litter Removal Sweeping/Debris Removal
- Fence Repair
- Erosion Control
- Drainage Structure Repair Ditch Repair
- Brine/Snow Removal Mowing

For all exempted projects/activities, the Department will implement appropriate temporary traffic control and advanced warning signage per existing Department processes and procedures for a given project. Due to their short-term nature and relative low impact on highway operations, the exempt projects will not require Significance Determination or TMP Development.

In certain cases, projects which are normally exempt may require development of an original TMP. An example of this case is a culvert replacement, which may be considered drainage structure repair, which requires closing a road. Another example would be a district chip seal

project. while chip seals are routine and short term in nature, they close lanes with significant delays. In special cases such as these, the significance determination should be completed and a TMP developed. The District Engineer or their designee will be responsible for making this judgment.

❖ **“Special” M&AM Division or District Maintenance Projects**

These projects are outside the regular activities completed by the Maintenance Division. In general, these projects have greater scopes and last for longer durations. Consequently, these projects may have greater impact on motorists and traffic operations. “Special” projects include road lane additions, intersection modifications, major pavement construction/repair (i.e. interstate concrete pavement installation/repair), individually-contracted/site specific projects, etc. The projects described above and other projects that are identified as “Special” by the Department M&AM or District Maintenance Offices shall be analyzed by the Department’s TMP process as described on section 1.5.1 above.

❖ **District Encroachment Permits**

Various work occurs on the routes maintained by the Department including US-routes and interstate routes that are not developed or contracted by the Department. This work is allowed on department R/W through a permit that allows the department to review plans, and TTC plans. These projects should go through the same process of determining type of TMP through significance and figure 1.1 as all other TMPs. TMPs associated with permits shall be approved by the District Engineer or their designee.

❖ **Local Public Agency (LPA) projects**

Various work will be developed at the local level within or near Department Right of Way. Projects within NDOT Right of Way that utilize the encroachment permit process will follow the TMP process required for permits and be approved by the District Engineer or their designee. Projects outside of Department Right of Way are outside of the jurisdiction of this document and may need a TMP, based upon the policy of the appropriate jurisdiction.

1.7 Audience

The purpose of these guidelines is to provide information and guidance to all individuals engaged in the planning, design, construction, permitting, inspecting or maintenance of work zones on State maintained roadways on how to develop, implement and evaluate TMPs.

1.8 Update Procedure

A process review will be performed at a minimum once every two years. The review team will consist of the following individuals or their appointed representative:

Chief Road Design Engineer
 Chief Construction Engineer
 Chief Traffic Operations Engineer
 District Engineers
 Resident Engineers
 District Traffic Engineers

Chief Traffic Safety Engineer
 Public Information Officers (Districts 1 and 2)
 Chief Project Management Engineer
 Project Management Managers
 FHWA Transportation Engineer

The process review will be conducted by the Chief Traffic Operations Engineer or their designee, who will be in charge of scheduling, setting the agenda, and conducting the biennial review meeting. The process review will assess the effectiveness of the work zone safety and

mobility procedures contained within this guide. The agenda will include a discussion on the effectiveness of the work zone process at the agency level. Consideration should be made for the following agenda items:

- How are work zones performing with respect to mobility and safety?
- Are customer expectations being met with respect to maintaining safety and mobility and minimizing business and community impacts both, in and around the work zone?
- How have areas for improvement that were identified in the past been addressed?
- What has worked/not worked – which strategies have proven the most/least effective in improving the safety and mobility of work zones?
- What other strategies can be considered for implementation?
- Have any work zone safety and mobility trends been identified, at the national level or local level? What can be done to address identified trends?
- How do work zone performance, the effectiveness of strategies, or areas of improvement vary between day work and night work?
- Should policies or agency procedures be adjusted based on what has been observed or measured?

It is left to the discretion of the Department to update this document at any time as policy and procedures change.

1.9 Fundamental Principles

Improving highway safety and mobility are two key performance measures for the Department's Strategic Plan. Consideration and application, as appropriate of the following principles will enhance the performance of work zones:

Provide a safe work zone for all workers and road users.

- Provide safe work zone design by using positive protection measures where possible and practical.
- Provide safe work zone design by using the same basic safety principles used to design permanent roadways when designing work zones.
- Utilize available intelligent transportation systems (ITS) and enforcement strategies to enhance safety.
- Employ incident management strategies during design and construction.
- Conduct investigations on work zone accidents and implement improvements where appropriate.
- Follow guidelines for speed limit reductions in work zone.
- Provide a continuous, safe working environment by monitoring and maintaining work zone devices during construction.
- Provide information to the public for work zones.

Plan for mobility and access.

- Consider innovative technologies, including ITS, for advancement of mobility in work zones.
- Consider all possible alternatives in order to minimize work zone delay and perpetuate flow.
- Maintain bicycle, pedestrian and ADA access through and around work zones.

Plan, design, and construct projects for an economical and timely delivery.

- Consider work zone impacts during design by developing transportation management plans (TMPs)
- Use innovative technologies and techniques to accelerate project construction.
- Coordinate work zone activities and operations with public agencies and other stakeholders.

Communicate project information to stakeholders.

- Provide and disseminate useful and essential information to keep stakeholders informed of work zone activities.
- Coordinate operations with those who have jurisdiction over any impacted operations, including other roads, railroads, transit facilities, emergency operations, school bus operations, etc.
- Maintain relationships through regular feedback and follow-up.

Continuously assess and improve work zone strategies, practices, and procedures.

- Assess, document, and implement successes via work zone inspections, crash data, and performance monitoring of work zone impact management strategies.
- Provide and disseminate essential temporary traffic control design and operations information to traffic control professionals.
- Provide work zone personnel with training commensurate to their level of responsibility.

1.10 Responsibility

Development of a Moderate or Major TMP is the responsibility of the project team, however Project Management, or the approving Division/District (if no project manager) will be responsible for collecting relevant information and authoring the TMP. TMP development may be delegated to consultants, contractors, permittees, or relevant local agencies, as appropriate for that project. The following responsibilities are for a typical project but do not constitute requirements for contributions on all TMPs.

a. Project Management

1. Coordinate with team members to determine whether a project is significant.
2. Manage the development of the TMP, and its' documentation.
3. Providing the Public Information Office with information for the development of the PI strategies.
4. Lead the TMP team to develop a consultant scope of services (as needed), to include a TMP that reflects efforts to comply with this document.
5. Collect and retain TMP documentation.
6. Participate in the biennial work zone safety and mobility review.

b. Traffic Operations:

1. Manage the development of the TMP (unless Project Management does this).
2. Participate in the development of TO strategies.
3. Participate in the development of TTC Plan.
4. Evaluate effectiveness of the TTC plan and any TO or PI strategies, and their documentation during the post construction review meeting.
5. Notify and coordinate with PIO on the PI strategy.
6. Manage the biennial work zone safety and mobility review.
7. Review and approval of the TMP to comply with the Work Zone Safety and Mobility Implementation Guide.
8. Review TTC plans submitted by the contractor when requested by the Resident Engineer.

c. Roadway Design

1. Participate in the development of the TMP.
2. Coordinate and develop relevant specifications for Lump Sum TTC strategy (see 2.5.1 for further information), or development of the TTC Plan as necessary.
3. Participate in the development of TO strategies.

4. Participate in the development of the PI strategies.
5. Participate in the biennial work zone safety and mobility review.
6. Review TTC plans submitted by the contractor when requested by the Resident Engineer.

d. Construction

1. Participate in the development of the TMP.
2. Participate in the development and implementation of the TTC Plan.
3. Participate in the development and compliance of TO strategies.
4. Provide technical guidance.
5. Evaluate effectiveness of the TMP during the post construction review meeting.
6. Participate in the biennial work zone safety and mobility review.
7. Develop Special Provisions language related to TTC, including limitations of operations in section 108.04, and device use in 625.

e. District

1. District Traffic Engineer will participate in the development of the TMP.
2. District Traffic Engineer will participate in the development and compliance of the TTC Plan.
3. District Traffic Engineer will participate in the development and compliance of TO strategies.
4. District Engineer or their designee will approve TMP for all permits, District contracts, and maintenance projects.
5. District Traffic Engineer will coordinate relevant permits, with the Project Manager.
6. District Traffic Engineer will participate in the biennial work zone safety and mobility review.

f. Resident Engineer

1. Resident Engineer will participate in the development of the TMP.
2. Ensure compliance with contract documents, policies and guidelines
3. Participate in post construction review meetings.

4. Recommend and implement traffic control improvements to address field conditions pertaining to traffic flow, visibility, and work and motorist safety.
5. Review and approve TTC Plans submitted by the contractor.
6. Re-evaluate /Revise the TTC plan, the TO strategies, and the PI strategies.
7. Monitor the performance of the TMP.

g. Public Information Office:

1. Ensure the proper information is communicated to the public.
2. Development, review and approval of the PI strategies.

1.11 References

Current Editions

Design Guidance

AASHTO Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets

AASHTO Roadside Design Guide

ADAAG American's with Disabilities Act Accessibility Guidelines

Construction Manual, NDOT

Highway Capacity Manual, TRB

Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways

FHWA Standard Highway Sign Book

PROWAG Proposed Public Rights of Way Accessibility Guidelines

Nevada Standard Sign Book

Planning and Scheduling Work Zone Traffic Control, USDOT

Road Design Guide, NDOT

Standard Plans for Road and Bridge Construction

Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction

Access Management System and Standards, NDOT

Supporting Information

Work Zone Impacts Assessment: An Approach to Assess and Manage Work Zone Safety and Mobility Impacts of Road Projects, FHWA

Smarter Work Zones, FHWA

Developing and Implementing Transportation Management Plans for Work Zones, FHWA

American Traffic Safety Services Association (ATSSA)
<http://www.atssa.com/default.asp>

Crashworthy Work-Zone Traffic Control Devices Report 553, NCHRP

FHWA Work Zone Operations Best Practices

<http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/wz/practices/practices.htm>

FHWA Work Zone Safety and Mobility
<http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/wz/index.asp>

ITE Temporary Traffic Control Device Handbook

ITS in Work Zones, AASHTO

Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware (MASH)

Work Zone and Traffic Analysis
http://www.ops.fhwa.dot.gov/wz/traffic_analysis.htm

NDOT State Level Emergency Operations Plan (EOP)

Highway Safety Manual, AASHTO

2.0 Policy

2.1 Applicable NRS for Work Zones

The following is a list of the most relevant Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) concerning work zones. Other NRS may be applicable depending on circumstances.

NRS 408.100 Declaration of legislative intent.

NRS 408.210 Powers of director: Closing and construction of highways; removal of encroachments

NRS 408.313 Manner of Construction

NRS 408.319 Report of projects for construction and maintenance: Preparation; contents; revision; public inspection.

NRS 408.403 Freeways

NRS 408.423 Permit required to excavate state highway; exception; fee.

NRS 484B.330 Obedience to signal of authorized flagman; prosecution of violations; penalties.

NRS 484B.130 Double penalty for certain traffic violations committed in work zones.

2.2 Transportation Policy Referenced

Copies of all transportation policies (TP) can be obtained through the Administrative Service Division. Relevant transportation policies to work zone safety include TP 1-7-4, Protective Clothing and TP 1-6-9, Flagging Certification.

2.3 Transportation Management Plan Approval

Informal projects with TMPs can be approved by the District Traffic Engineer responsible for oversight of the district where the project is constructed. Formal projects may require a certification approval memo by the Chief Traffic Operations Engineer certifying the TMP meets the requirements of the Work Zone Safety and Mobility Implementation Guide. The Approval memo for the projects' Transportation Management Plan will be forwarded to Contract Services in preparation of the processing memo.

2.4 Standard Procedures Applicable to Work Zones

2.4.1 Routine Procedures

In addition to procedures outlined in the 2014 Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge construction, the Department engages in strategy and device use to increase work zone safety in consistent and logical applications. If a strategy has a pull sheet, appears in the Work Zone Speed Mitigations Matrix (Appendix E), or is explained by the Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction, or has a detail in the Standard Plans for Road and Bridge Construction, it is a Routine Procedure. Strategies in the Work Zone Speed Mitigations Matrix that are not used in conjunction with a speed reduction still constitute Routine Procedure, however "Smarter Work Zones", and Queue Detection do not qualify. Variance in number of devices used between different projects does not disqualify that procedure as Routine. Routine

Procedures should be proposed for inclusion in future editions of the Departments' Standard Plans or Standard Specifications. Advance social media posts and written press-release strategies are the only PI strategies that constitute Routine Procedure. Interviews, targeted series of posts, or releases corresponding with different phases of work are each greater than a Routine Procedure.

2.4.2 Standard Specifications Applicable to Work Zones

- 1) Public Traffic Delay –Subsection 107.07 and Subsection 108.04
- 2) Temporary Lighting –Subsection 107.07
- 3) Public Convenience and Safety – Subsection 107.07
- 4) Relations with Railroads –Subsection 107.08
- 5) Signal Management Operations –Subsection 623.01.06
- 6) Accommodations for Public Traffic –Subsection 624
- 7) Business Access –Subsection 624.03.01
- 8) Detour Routes –Subsection 624.03.02
- 9) Flaggers –Subsection 624.03.03
- 10) Flagger Illumination –Subsection 624.03.03
- 11) Traffic Control Supervisor –Subsection 624.03.06
- 12) Temporary Traffic Control Devices –Subsection 625
- 13) Device Placement 625.03.01
- 14) Temporary Traffic Signals –Subsection 625.02.08
- 15) Contractor Designed Traffic Control Plans –Subsection 625.03.05

2.5 Policies Applicable to Work Zones

2.5.1 Policies for alternate TTC plan delivery

The Department primarily uses two methods of TC plan development for all projects: Internal Plan Development, and “Lump Sum”. The main distinction is that the TMP for Internal Plan Development is entirely developed by the Department, however for Lump Sum the Department only creates the TO strategies and PI strategies sections.

Internal Plan Development means the Department provides a TC plan to the contractor with itemized devices, phases, and TO strategies. This method may include a PI strategy as well. Since all aspects of the TC plan were developed by the department, the department is able to create a complete TMP.

Lump Sum means the Department will create parameters in the project's Special Provisions that will dictate how the contractor develops their own TTC plan. Typically, any aspects of the PI strategies and TO strategies are included in the specs and the Department will create those portions of the TMP. The TC plan is provided by the contractor, and approved by the Resident Engineer. The combined strategies and from the Department and TTC plan from the contractor make the TMP.

In both cases the department will develop the PI and TO strategies in a TMP. The difference is that the Department provides a complete TMP for Internal Plan Development and Lump Sum requires the contractor to create the TTC plan part of the TMP and the Department creates the other parts of the TMP based upon our requirements.

In rare but often high-profile projects the Department will utilize Design-Build (DB) or Construction-Manager-at-Risk (CMAR) delivery processes that rely heavily on contractor-consultant teams. The department will approach these projects by developing contract

requirements to dictate how the TTC will be developed and minimum safety requirements similar to the Lump Sum approach. The difference is that the Department allows for a greater degree of contractor freedom in choosing phasing, as well as direction for the overall improvements so often not enough direction is provided for the Department to create a formal TMP document based upon the two strategy types. In these cases, TMP development shall be completed by the contractor and their consultant team. In some cases separate TMPs are created for each phase, or group of phases in the project, this is acceptable, however a TMP shall be completed and approved by Department Traffic Operations prior to breaking ground on each phase of project regardless of delivery type or responsible entity for TMP development.

2.5.2 TTC plan development

A fundamental part of the TMP is a TTC plan. TTC plans are required for all projects on the Interstate system by federal law. Additionally the Department requires a TTC plan for all other routes maintained by the state, excluding exemptions in section 1.5. A standard plan sheet may be used for projects that fit into the in the Basic TMP category, however Basic TMP projects that require the use of multiple standard plan sheets or one sheet plus some other details will be required to have a complete set of developed TTC plans. Major and Moderate TMP projects within a TMA should include complete TTC plans for each phase of work. These plans should be built around configurations from the Departments standard plans, however turning in standard plan sheets as a TTC plan or accompanying a partial TTC plan will not be acceptable unless otherwise approved.

Complex TTC plans may require additional planning to place and remove devices. The planning of such activities should be approved by the RE prior to implementation.

2.5.3 Safety Measures for Work Zone Implementation

Anytime contractors are working on the roadway without Temporary Traffic Control they are exposing workers and motorists to an unsafe and unpredictable situation. To increase safety, anytime workers will be on the paved roadway (including while operating equipment) a minimum of safety devices should be used including attenuator truck with integrated message and/or arrow board. Typical situations where this applies include placement of TTC devices, removal of TTC devices, inspection/resetting of TTC devices, field investigation, and testing. Contracts should include specific requirements to ensure these safety measures are used appropriately based upon scope and location. In any case, the contractor is responsible for planning, placing, and maintaining a safe work zone that abides by any specifications relating to the contract. The Department's RE or consultants ensure that the contract is performed according to its terms, and that the traffic control is maintained properly for the safety of workers and travelers. Department inspectors or consultants are not provided for the benefit of the Contractor.

2.5.4 Temporary Speed Reduction

Existing speed limits shall remain in effect through work zones on state highways except where those work zone activities would create a condition that would be aggravated by retaining the existing speed limits.

A temporary speed reduction may be requested for activities, groups of similar activities, projects, or groups of projects. The MUTCD recommends a maximum speed reduction of 10 mph. Projects with an existing speed limit greater than 55 MPH may be temporarily reduced by 10 MPH or to 55 MPH, whichever is lower with the concurrence from the Chief Traffic Operations Engineer. Approvals are coordinated by the Signs, Striping, and Traffic Control

section of Traffic Operations. If approved, the limits and mitigation devices of the temporary reduced speed limit shall be incorporated into the TMP.

Any temporary reductions to a speed lower than 55 mph may be reduced with concurrence from the Chief Traffic Operations Engineer and approval from the Directors Office. Approvals are coordinated by the Signs, Striping, and Traffic Control section of Traffic Operations. If approved, the limits and mitigation devices of the temporary reduced speed limit shall be incorporated into the TMP.

When workers are exposed to live traffic, **do not assume that a lower speed limit will improve worker safety**. Reduce worker exposure and traffic speeds using these effective safety strategies:

- Use a pilot car for two lane paving operations to effectively control traffic speed past workers.
- Provide positive protection such as barriers and Truck Mounted Attenuators.
- Provide a lateral buffer space between workers and live traffic, defined by channelization devices, to allow space for minor traffic intrusions or occasional encroachment by workers. A half to full lane width is an acceptable lateral buffer for high speed conditions.
- Use closely spaced drums or tall channelizing devices to improve work area separation and motorist guidance.
- Additional warning devices such as temporary rumble strips, portable changeable message signs, or an automated flagger assistance device may improve flagger protection.

If a speed reduction is proposed, these qualitative factors together with other speed reduction mitigations (see Appendix E) shall be considered to determine if a work zone speed limit reduction is needed:

1. Roadway Factors

- Roadway surface is rough, uneven, gravel, has abrupt edges, etc.
- Temporary Concrete Barrier (TCB) is 2 feet or closer to high speed traffic (45 mph or more).
- Traffic lanes are less than 11 feet wide.
- Shoulders are less than 4 feet wide.
- Work zone elements such as temporary road approaches, intersections, or intersection control (such as a temporary signal) have changed the roadway or roadside environment.
- Work zone has unusual or reduced roadway geometrics such as lane closures and shifts, ramp closures, and reduced or removed acceleration/deceleration tapers.

2. Operational Factors

- Sight distance is restricted due to traffic barriers, temporary alignment, or intersection locations.

- Unprotected work activities or workers are closer than 10 feet to high speed traffic.
- Work zone has detours or alignment changes designed for speeds below the existing limit.

3. Human Factors

- When considering a speed limit reduction be aware that drivers generally do not slow down until there is a perceived reason to do so. If motorists do not see the reason for a reduced speed limit, it is often ignored. In addition, note these factors when assessing the need for a speed limit reduction
- A “Reduced Speed Limit” sign is not automatically noticed or effective in slowing traffic. Most drivers determine their speed by observing visual cues from their surroundings, including the visible work activity, specific warning signs, pavement markings, and other traffic control devices.
- Studies show that drivers slow down more in work zones with Portable CMS, electronic driver feedback signs (“Your Speed Is XX”), and flashing warning lights.
- Most drivers do not voluntarily reduce their speed more than 10 mph unless law enforcement is active.
- Work zone speed limit reductions of more than 10 mph show an increase in crashes due to a wider speed differential between vehicles.

A temporary reduction in the regulatory speed limit may be established as part of the traffic control plan, including those furnished by contractors, if the reduction plan is approved by the Chief Traffic Operations Engineer, and (if necessary) the Director’s Office. Temporary regulatory speed limit signs shall not be erected or uncovered until all appropriate work zone signs have been placed in accordance with the approved traffic control plans.

The use of TTC devices outside of hours of operations is prohibited unless the roadway surface is unsuitable for vehicle travel at normal operating speeds (i.e. a milled surface) or the work area must be protected from live traffic (e.g. drop off of greater than 2”). The Work Zone speed limit should not be left in effect beyond the hours of operations unless the condition for which the speed reduction was implemented continues to exist, and devices are justifiably used outside of those hours of operations.

Appendix E contains the Work Zone Speed Reduction Countermeasure Matrix. This matrix was developed as a tool to assist with the selection of additional mitigation strategies to implement in the work zone in conjunction with temporary speed limit reductions.

The speed may be reduced from posted speed to work zone speed in a single drop however stepped down speeds are permissible with concurrence from the Resident Engineer. The original regulatory speed limit shall be resumed by posting new signs at the end of the work zone for each direction of traffic. The approval of a speed reduction does not constitute a requirement to reduce speeds. Furthermore, a speed reduction is not permissible without use of all feasible mitigations specified in the approval.

All merge and shifting tapers should be designed based on pre-work zone speeds.

All device spacing shall be designed based on work zone speed.

2.5.5 Positive Protection Devices

Positive protection devices may be used to manage work zone exposure and reduce the risks of crashes resulting in fatalities or injuries to workers and road users. Positive protection devices may be used to prevent the intrusion of motorized traffic into the work space and other potentially hazardous areas in the work zone. Positive Protection Devices means devices that contain and/or redirect vehicles and meet the crashworthiness evaluation criteria contained in MASH.

Positive protection devices shall be considered in work zone situations that place workers at increased risk from motorized traffic and offer the highest potential for increased safety for workers and road users, such as:

1. work zones that provide workers no means of escape from motorized traffic e.g. tunnels, bridges, etc.)
2. long duration work zones (two weeks or more) resulting in substantial worker exposure to motorized traffic
3. projects with high anticipated operating speeds (45mph or greater) especially when combined with high traffic volumes
4. work operations that place workers close to travel lanes open to traffic
5. roadside hazards, such as drop-offs or unfinished bridge decks that will remain in place overnight or longer

The need for positive protection devices may be based on an engineering study or by reference to AASHTO Roadside Design Guide. The engineering study should be based on consideration of factors and characteristics such as:

1. Project scope and duration
2. Anticipated traffic speeds and volume through the work zone
3. Vehicle mix
4. Type of work (as related to worker exposure and crash risks)
5. Distance between traffic and workers and extent of worker exposure
6. Escape paths available for workers to avoid a vehicle intrusion into the work space
7. Time of day (e.g. night work)
8. Work area restrictions (including impact on worker exposure)
9. Consequences from/to road users resulting from roadway departures
10. Potential hazard to workers and road users presented by device itself and during device placement and removal
11. Geometrics that may increase crash risks (e.g. poor sight distance, sharp curves)
12. Access to/from work space
13. Roadway classification
14. Impacts on project cost and duration

2.5.6 Uniformed Law Enforcement

A number of conditions may indicate the need for or benefit of uniformed law enforcement in work zones. The presence of a uniformed law enforcement officer and marked law enforcement vehicle in view of motorized traffic on a highway project can affect driver behavior, helping to maintain appropriate speeds and improve driver alertness through the work zone.

The use of uniformed law enforcement shall be considered on Federal-aid projects. The need for law enforcement is greatest on projects with high traffic speeds and volumes and

where the work zone is expected to result in substantial disruption to or changes in normal traffic flow patterns. Project conditions should be examined to determine the need for or potential benefit of law enforcement, such as:

1. Frequent worker presence adjacent of high-speed traffic without positive protection devices.
2. Traffic control setup or removal that presents significant risks to workers and road users.
3. Complex or very short term changes in traffic patterns with significant potential for road use confusion or worker risk from traffic exposure.
4. Night work operations that create substantial traffic safety risks for workers and road users.
5. Existing traffic conditions and crash histories that indicate a potential for substantial safety and congestion impacts related to the work zone activity and that may be mitigated by improved driver behavior and awareness of the work zone.
6. Work zone operations that require brief stoppage of all traffic in one or both directions.
7. High-speed roadways where unexpected or sudden traffic queuing is anticipated, especially if the queue forms a considerable distance in advance of the work zone or immediately adjacent to the work space.
8. Other work site conditions where traffic presents a high risk for workers and road users, such that the risk may be reduced by improving road user behavior and awareness.
9. Locations where traffic conditions and crash history indicate substantial problems may be encountered during the project.

2.5.7 Work zone ITS

Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) provide an opportunity to provide a safer and more efficient work zone through communication, work zone monitoring, driver feedback, and traffic and incident management. ITS devices are supported throughout this manual, including changeable message signs, speed feedback signs, temporary traffic signals, and many other devices and strategies. State of the practice in work zone ITS is rapidly evolving and work zones are implementing devices in new ways every year. Any raw data collected with work zone ITS should be delivered to NDEX, Traffic Operations Division, the Road Operation Center in that area, and the crew for archiving and evaluation of work zone effectiveness. The Road Operations Center should receive updates on lane closures and DMS message needs from the crew periodically. The FHWA maintains a website of work zone ITS devices, along with best practices at <https://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/wz/its/index.htm>.

2.5.8 Temporary Transverse Rumble Strips

Rumble strips provide noise and vibration as roadway feedback to users, alerting them to change to the roadway, or notification of change in the roadway. Temporary transverse rumble strips should be placed to bring driver awareness to the work zone prior to signage that will require the driver to deviate from current behaviors or patterns. Dynamic rumble strips used either temporarily or permanently shall not be considered. Temporary transverse rumble strips should not be considered for application on roadways with more than 2 lanes per direction, with AADTs greater than 10,000, within 1000' of residential areas, or with work zone speeds greater than 70 mph. Rumble strips are recommended for crossovers, stop conditions, and speed reductions. See MUTCD section 6F.87, contract Special Provisions, and manufacturer recommendations for details on rumble strip use.

2.5.9 MOT Checklist

To ensure that all concerns of TMP planning applicable to each project is considered during plan development, a checklist has been developed. This list ensures that any sub-topics and uncommon strategies are considered as needed. Signs, Striping, and Traffic Control section staff shall use the checklist on each of their projects with a TMP. See Appendix E;

2.5.10 Ghost Striping

During TTC striping is often modified to accommodate work areas, maintenance of traffic lanes, or otherwise convey traffic with available space. At project conclusion the temporary work zone striping will be removed and new striping (not always in same place as original striping) will be placed. One consequence is that areas where striping is removed will be shaded different than surrounding pavement, may have residual paint, and/or provides a channel for rainwater to collect. Each of these consequences may lead to driver perception that the removed stripe (or ghost stripe) is appropriate traffic control which leads to encroachment into parallel lanes, use of shoulder or preferential lane as a general purpose lane, or even directing vehicle path to intersect other lanes. To avoid these hazards, anytime striping is removed the roadway surface should be made uniform. There are many acceptable strategies that may make the surface uniform; however resurfacing is recommended. Any project that does not address ghost striping should receive approval from the District Engineer, or their designee prior to project Doc.

2.5.11 Coordinating with Adjacent projects

The department and various local agencies throughout the state have a responsibility to maintain roads within their respective jurisdictions however this leads to project schedules overlapping at adjacent locations. These overlaps sometimes have adverse impacts on detours, lane closures, project area, and general public mobility. It is imperative during project design that adjacent agencies are contacted and informed of project schedules, TO strategies, and PI strategies. The Department should adjust project strategies and timeline to coordinate with the impacted agencies to ensure impacts to the public are minimized.

2.5.12 Interstate closures of 8 hours or greater

When portions of the interstate system are required to close for 8 hours or more, FHWA approval is needed prior to initiating planned closures. For this purpose closure refers to the removal or suspension of traffic operations either directionally or bi directionally from a segment of roadway. This includes when operations are removed or suspended with detours on separate routes but not when crossovers or shoo-fly are used to circumvent the closure. This process should also include high degrees of public outreach and coordination with neighboring states. When it is determined that interstate closure is required, the Department Project Manager, District Engineer, or Chief Traffic Operations Engineer will coordinate FHWA approval and will coordinate with appropriate stakeholders to ensure appropriate outreach is planned. The approval memo will convey a summary of these four items; why closure is necessary, expected duration of closure, what viable detours there are, and how we are handling the outreach. See Appendix D for example. Every plan is unique and can be adjusted as needed for that specific closure.

2.5.14 Temporary Signals and Flaggers

Roadwork on roads with one lane per direction frequently require use of a flaggers or flaggers and pilot car to safely convey traffic in both directions during work activities. For these types of traffic control situations, a temporary signal may be allowed based upon several factors. Factors to consider for use of a temp signal include sight distance, proximity of signals, extended closures (closures during non-working hours). Use of a temporary signal should be coordinated with Traffic Operations Division.

3.0 Training Requirement

3.1 General

All individuals engaged in the planning, design, construction and maintenance, permitting or inspecting of work zones must be trained in the following courses:

- Traffic Control Technician (ATSSA or approved equivalent)
- Traffic Control Supervisor (ATSSA or approved equivalent)
- Other courses as needed

Approved equivalents are;

Northern Nevada Laborers Training and Apprenticeship Program Traffic Control Technician and Traffic Control Supervisor Training
Albert DeVita, Training Director
Training is for Laborers' Union members only.
Schedule of classes is at <http://local169.com/training/>
Please call 775-343-0171 to register for classes.

Southern Nevada Laborers Training and Apprenticeship Program Traffic Control Technician and Traffic Control Supervisor Training
Archie Walden, Training Director
Training is available for Laborers' Union members and the General Public
Schedule of classes is at <http://local872.com/training/>
Class registration is only done in person at the office located at: 4201 E Bonanza Rd., Las Vegas, NV 89110
You will need to present a valid state photo ID and any pre-requisite documentation (if required).
For more information, please call 702-452-9410 x1794

Traffic Safety Consultants Traffic Control Specialist and Traffic Control Manager Training
Catherine Witt, Principal
www.traffic-safety-consultants.com
Please call 816-813-6232 to arrange training.

*For approved equivalent and other training required by contract see the Special Provisions.

4.0 Development of Transportation Management Plan (TMP)

4.1 Planning Development of TMP

4.1.1 General

Transportation Management Plan (TMP) development begins during the planning phase and progresses through the design phase of a project. A full TMP document is not developed until the intermediate design phase. A TMP scope analysis during planning and preliminary engineering ensures that the TMP development and implementation costs are included in the project budget. This makes it essential that the design engineer and the Project Manager work together to develop an effective TMP. The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process should be considered a key source for inputs or constraints on the project.

The TMP documents relevant information, crucial strategies and assumptions for Traffic control staging, detours, public outreach, or other related work zone management items strategies, data, and how temporary traffic control will be carried out. The TMP is a professional document that includes the temporary traffic control plan. There are many pieces of information that are or may be appropriate in the TMP however a TMP should be concise, only include necessary details, and follow Department format. See examples in Appendix B.

4.1.2 Development

4.1.2.1 Project Budget –The Project Management Division will establish project budgets that reflect the expected efforts for developing and implementing the TMPs.

4.1.2.2 Scope of work –The Project Manager will develop a consultant scope of work (as needed), including a TMP that reflect efforts to comply with this document.

4.2 Preliminary Development of TMP

4.2.1 General

TMP development continues in the preliminary engineering phase of a project. During this phase, a design strategy will be developed based on the project significance. Critical construction work will be identified and evaluated as having either large public impact or schedule impact. Adjacent projects will be considered in this evaluation.

4.2.2 Develop TMP

4.2.2.1 Create TMP Team – a well-balanced TMP team consisting of a variety of disciplines and stakeholders is important for developing a successful TMP. It is essential that personnel from appropriate divisions and the district(s) be involved to provide their specialty input. The team composition may vary from project to project. The Project Manager will assess the needs of the project and determine the team's composition.

4.2.2.2 Identify Stakeholders - Once the project type is known, appropriate stakeholders should be identified. The TMP team and the Project Manager will need to work together to identify the stakeholders. Stakeholders may include FHWA, other public agencies, the railroad, trucking associations, community groups, schools, enforcement

agencies, emergency services, convention and visitor authority, local public works, the local chamber of commerce, home owner associations, and businesses.

4.2.2.3 Compile Project Material - Obtain current conditions by use of aerial photos, “as-built” plans and survey data. Concepts should be drawn to scale for further refinement. *For in-house design projects, this task is accomplished by Roadway Design.* The level of detail for these layouts should be sufficient to convey and validate the concepts. Aerial photography, mapping files, street maps, photographs, previous contracts, field notes and maintenance records should be used to insure that the layouts fit actual site conditions.

4.2.2.4 Determine TMP needs –Before work can begin on the TMP, preliminary information needs to be obtained on the project. Project scope will need to be determined, geometrics set and the structural section known. The roadway classification will need to be known and the traffic data collected. Section 1.5 has been developed to assist the project team in determining if the project is significant. Other projects in the area should also be considered when determining if the project is significant.

4.2.2.5 Preliminary Traffic Control meeting The TMP team members will need to discuss the overall traffic control strategies for the project. The team should strive towards work zone safety, and construction productivity with minimal impacts to the traveling public. Concepts need to be developed on how to accomplish individual construction activities anticipated on the project. The considerations listed in this section should be evaluated and incorporated into the conceptual traffic control accordingly.

Identify critical areas –Some potential critical areas are:

- Airport proximity - If the project is within one mile of an airport, the Project Manager will need to apply to the Federal Aviation Authority (FAA) for construction permits.
- Critical vertical clearances – Any vertical clearance over the traveled way of less than 16 feet.
- Critical horizontal clearances – Travel lanes that have 16-foot or less physical clearance from fixed object to fixed object and turning movements which cannot accommodate the roadway design vehicle.
- Critical closures – minimum number of lanes to remain open versus time of day / day of week.
- Restricted working days – Certain special events and holidays may require that no lane restrictions are present.
- Environmental restrictions such as noise and dust may impact the construction activities.
- Seasonal restrictions – placement of open graded material is restricted to time of year. Temperature restrictions may apply in paving or other activities.
- Utility and railroad conflicts.
- Encroachment permits from a neighboring state.
- Bikes and Pedestrians

Preliminary TTC Design - The TTC can either be designed “up front”, and included in the plan set, or submitted by the contractor for approval. Specific construction staging or constructability issues may necessitate that traffic control plan sheets be provided. The decision to provide Lump Sum Contractor Supplied traffic control or traffic control plan sheets should be determined during preliminary traffic control review meetings.

4.2.3 Outcome

4.2.3.1 Determine Project Significance - Project traffic impact has been reviewed and resources allocated accordingly. The TMP team has determined the projects' significance.

4.2.3.2 Preliminary work zone strategies - Overall traffic control strategies have been agreed upon. Documentation of TTC has been determined (supplied with the contract or submitted by the contractor). The Project Manager will need to take the meeting minutes and distribute them to the team and all interested outside entities. The Project Manager will need to prepare a summary of Maintenance of Traffic Alternative Analysis (MOTAA). The MOTAA will include a list of alternative work zone strategy options considered and the justification for the selected alternative.

4.2.4 Resources

Requests for traffic information used in determining traffic control issues are to be coordinated with the Traffic Operations Division. The District Traffic Engineer needs to be contacted for local information when developing a TMP.

4.3 Intermediate development of TMP

4.3.1 General

Maintenance of Traffic concepts have been fully considered and developed. During this stage, the TTC plans sets (when required) should be created for review. The initial PI and TO strategies are created for review. The attention of the TMP team will now need to focus on finalizing the TTC plans, developing the TO and PI strategies, and developing various sections in the special provisions.

4.3.2 Develop TMP

On a few projects, it is possible for the department to use a design-build and/or contractor supplied process. A performance-based specification will be developed for the project with the contractor being responsible for developing a TMP that best meets the performance specification. The TMP is subject to approval by the TMP team.

4.3.2.1 TTC Intermediate Plan Development - All unresolved issues

need to be addressed at this time. The Traffic Operations Division should determine limitations of operations for lane closures and times. The Traffic Operations Division will need to provide input on the TTC plans regarding compliance to the MUTCD and standard practices. The Construction Division will need to provide input and make recommendations to address any potential construction problems with the TTC. It will be the responsibility of the District Engineer, or his or her representative, to review the traffic control plans at the intermediate level. There should be meetings with the local entities to gain their support. Stakeholders should be consulted during this development phase to seek their input and concurrence.

Recommendations regarding the constructability of the traffic control plans will be provided to the designer. Information to be shown includes, but is not limited to:

- Devices – types, locations and spacing of traffic control devices used

- Work zone – area to be occupied by the work forces, equipment and materials.
- Roadside hazards – identification and mitigation as required
- Lane assignments – number of lanes, directions of travel and turning movement
- Flagger/pilot car operation – location and pilot car route
- Work zone access– ingress/egress, business and ramp access.
- Temporary striping – number of lanes, widths, and markings.
- Detours – complete plan to reroute traffic or bike/pedestrian users
- Phasing and Staging plans – based on preliminary work zone strategy outcome
- Bike and Pedestrian access

Additional considerations can be found in table 1 of Appendix A.

4.3.2.2 TO Strategies Development - The TO strategies should include all of the mandatory and/or prohibited requirements that involve temporary traffic control during construction and for coordination with other projects and/or other agencies. Discussion in the TMP should include explanations or why each mandatory and/or prohibited requirement was included in the project, including reasoning from TC meetings, and correspondence with various divisions of the Department, the crew, other agency, and/or consultant involvement. Typical TO strategies include demand management, corridor/network management, work zone safety management, and traffic/incident management and enforcement.

The Project Manager/coordinator will need to coordinate the TO items with the local agencies. It is recommended that a letter of understanding and/or an agreement be drafted between the state and the local agency addressing relevant items. Specifications need to be discussed and addressed. Information to be shown includes, but is not limited to:

- Critical clearance – inform Administrative Services (Over-dimensional Permits) of critical clearance issues and time frames
- Closures - inform Road Ops (to be placed in 511/NVRoads) and Administrative Services of planned closures and time frames
- Signal timing - Special attention should be given to the signal timing coordination along with ITS components of the TMP
- Local agency representative - A contact number for the local representative along with how many days prior notification is required to the local representative will be included in the contract special provisions
- Limitations on construction operations will be documented.

Additional considerations can be found in table 2 of Appendix A.

4.3.2.3 PI Strategies Development – PIO strategies should be considered for all projects and included on all four digit contracts. The strategies used should increase public awareness and provide information to motorists. It will be the responsibility of the public information office to prepare the Public Information (PI) strategies. Traffic Operations should inform the PIO prior to PS&E review for Significant Projects and after the 90% review for non-Significant projects, of project scope, TC plan/strategies, and its expected Doc date. The Project Manager, along with representatives from the Design, Construction and Traffic Operation Divisions may provide input into the PI plan.

Additional considerations can be found in table 3 of Appendix A.

4.3.3 Outcome

At the end of the intermediate TMP process, the TTC plan, TO and PI strategies have been reviewed by headquarters and district management and the construction sequence has been reviewed and validated by the construction division. Documentation of the traffic control meetings has been placed in the project workbook.

- **TTC needs identified** - preliminary traffic control plans are drafted. TO strategies are proposed and the PIO has been contacted. .
- **TO needs identified** - Limitations on the contractor's operations have been established. . The Traffic Operations Division will need to document their analysis as outlined in section 4.5 and provide copies of this documentation will need to be provided to the Project Manager. Agreements concerning the TO have been drafted and coordinated with the local agencies and/or law enforcement.
- **PI needs identified** – Public Information Office has reviewed information provided by the TMP coordinator and preliminary strategies have been developed.

4.3.4 Resources

Local agencies should be contacted for local development and/or street closure considerations. Requests for traffic information used in determining traffic control are to be coordinated with the Traffic Operations Division. Review the district work program, the Department project status report and other local agencies for other projects that may have an impact on the project.

4.4 Final Development of TMP

4.4.1 General

During final development of the TMP, all comments and new design issues need to be addressed. The quality assurance and specifications submittals will need to be developed. The TTC, TO, and PI documents will be completed.

During final development of the TMP, focus should also be on the coordination and agreement of the TMP with local entities.

4.4.2 Finalize TMP

- **TTC Final Plan Development** - It will be the responsibility of the designer to make the necessary changes to the TTC from the intermediate review meeting. The designer will need to ensure that the TTC is in conformance with drafting and plan preparation standards.

The designer will review the comments made by the QA reviewer and incorporate those changes agreed upon. Once the QA comments have been incorporated, the Project Manager will need to determine if additional meetings are necessary. The TTC plans will need to be finalized.

- **TO Final Strategies Development** - In the development of the TO, the TMP team must finalize any constraints and requirements that are needed for the TTC plan.

The TMP should include explanations or why each mandatory and/or prohibited requirement was included in the project, including reasoning. These constraints and requirements will be included to Specifications or otherwise addressed in the contract documents. The TMP team should consider:

1. A requirement to furnish minimum quantities of certain traffic control devices such as changeable message boards, traffic drums, arrow boards, etc.
2. Requiring the use of traffic barriers, such as portable concrete barriers, to shield traffic from longitudinal drop-offs, excavations and other construction activities.
3. Any constraints affecting traffic operations such as reducing the number of lanes, lowering the speed limit, diminishing the lane widths, working days and hourly limitations based on traffic volume.
4. The impacts to adjacent property owners such as restricted access, hours of operation, pollution control, etc.

Additional considerations can be found in table 2 of Appendix A.

- **Department advertised contract plans** - On projects administered by the Department, the Roadway Design Division is responsible for writing the specifications. All TO information is addressed in the specifications. The Construction Division will provide the number of working days, project completion date, flagger hours and liquidated damages. Traffic Operations Division will provide information on device use in section 625.03.05.

Specific sections and items of the special provisions that need to be addressed are:

- **Limitation of Operations (Section 108.04):** This section of the specifications limits the contractor's construction operations. The operations are usually limited by working hours, conditions of the work and the size of the construction zone.
- **Liquidated Damages (Section 108.09):** This section of the specifications tells the contractor what he will be assessed in withheld payment in the event that the conditions of the contract are not met.
- **Accommodations for Public Traffic (Section 624):** This section of the specifications tells the contractor what items or personnel to use to safely pass traffic through the work zone. It will address the use of detours, flaggers, traffic control supervisor, uniformed traffic control officer and pilot car or a combination of these methods.
- **Contractor Designed Traffic Control Plans (Section 625.03.05):** This section of the specifications requires numbers of devices or types of devices to be used in the TTC plan for various situations or locations as needed to ensure a safe work zone. This section addresses lighting concerns, types of channelizers, message boards, and any other specific devices needed.

4.4.3 Outcome

Once the specifications have been incorporated and the TTC plan, TO and PI strategies finalized, the TMP will be considered complete. Documentation of decisions made in the

development of the TMP along with TMP meeting minutes will be placed in the project workbook and copies of all TMP documentation will have been forwarded to the Project Manager.

- **Receive Approvals** – The Chief Traffic Operations Engineer will approve the project meets the provisions set forth in the Work Zone Safety and Mobility Implementation Guide. The Chief TO Engineer may provide a TC memo when required to accompany the processing memo. All permits and informal projects will comply with the Work Zone Safety and Mobility Implementation Guide and will be certified by the District Traffic Engineer prior to the notice to proceed.

4.5 TMP Documentation

4.5.1 General

This section contains a comprehensive list of the components that *may* be included in a TMP report. The order, terminology and inclusion of components may vary from project to project. The level of detail of the TMP will reflect the level of work zone impacts of the project.

4.5.2 Responsibilities

The State and contractor are required to designate a person at the project level who has the primary responsibility and sufficient authority for applying and modifying the TTC plan, TO Strategies, PI strategies, and other safety and mobility aspects of the project. The individual designated to be the State's representative shall be the Resident Engineer assigned to the project. It will be the Project Managers responsibility to submit the completed TMP along with any supporting documentation to the Resident Engineer prior to the project being advertised for construction.

4.5.3 Potential Elements in a TMP Document

The components discussed in this section include elements of the TMP document, as well as elements for TMP implementation and evaluation. The following table summarizes the components that may be included in the TMP document. Individual TMP components are described in more detail in the subsections that follow the table.

TMP Component	✓
1. Introductory Material	
▪ Cover Page	<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ Table of Contents	<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ List of figures	<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ List of tables	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Executive Summary	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. TMP Roles and Responsibilities	
▪ Project Manager	<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ TMP Team	<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ TMP Implementation Task Leaders	<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ Emergency Contacts	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Project Description	
▪ Project background	<input type="checkbox"/>

▪ Project type	<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ Project area/corridor	<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ Project goals and constraints	<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ Proposed construction phasing/staging	<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ General schedule and timeline	<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ Need for detours (Traffic and Ped/Bike detours)	<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ Related projects	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Existing and Future Conditions	
▪ Data collection and modeling approach	<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ Existing roadway characteristics	<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ Existing and historical traffic data	<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ Existing traffic operations	<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ Crash data	<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ Stakeholder concerns/issues	<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ Traffic Mobility Issues	<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ Traffic predictions during construction	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Work Zone Impacts Assessment Report	
▪ Qualitative summary of anticipated work zone impacts	<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ Summary of Maintenance of Traffic Alternative Analysis (MOTAA)	<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ Impacts assessment of alternative project design and management strategies	<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ Construction approach/phasing/staging strategies	<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ Work zone impacts management strategies	<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ Traffic analysis strategies	<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ Measures of effectiveness	<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ Selected Alternative	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. TMP Monitoring	<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ Monitoring requirements	<input type="checkbox"/>
▪ Evaluation report	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Public Information and Outreach Plan	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Incident Management	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Special Considerations (As Needed)	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Attachments (As Needed)	<input type="checkbox"/>

Introductory Material - Components may include:

- **Cover Page** –Should contain the title/project name, date, and the name of the agency and/or person responsible for the report with contact information.
- **Table of Contents** –Lists the sections and subsections of the report with their page numbers.
- **List of Figures**
- **List of Tables**

Executive Summary - The executive summary should include a brief overview and summary of the project, general approach, selected construction phasing and staging approach(es), anticipated work zone impacts of the project, the chosen TMP strategies, and conclusions/recommendations for the project.

TMP roles and responsibilities - The roles and responsibilities for the development, implementation, monitoring and evaluation of the TMP should be documented. These may include, but are not limited to:

- **Project Manager** – The person responsible for the overall development of the TMP.
- **TMP Team** –departmental members and stakeholders who were involved in the development and review of the TMP.
- **TMP Implementation Task Leaders** – These are the individuals responsible for implementing specific tasks recommended by the TMP. This should include contact information for the person in the Public Information Office who is responsible for PI and Outreach support during construction.
- **Emergency Contacts** – List of known contact persons for each emergency service agency, including police, fire, and ambulance.

Project Description - Lists the scope and definition of the project. Much of this information will have already been gathered as part of Project Planning and Preliminary Engineering. It may include:

- **Project Background** –Includes a brief description of the project, its purpose, and its developmental history. It may also include additional information related to the project, roadway, or study area.
- **Project Type** – The nature of the project, which may range from capital projects, new construction, rehabilitation, major maintenance, to regular maintenance.
- **Project Area/Corridor** –Describes physical extents of the construction or maintenance work, as well as the estimated region(s) and corridor(s) that may be affected by the project. Using a map to show this information is recommended.
- **Project Goals and Constraints** – A brief listing of the goals, benefits, and challenges that are expected by this project.
- **Proposed Construction Phasing/Staging** – This includes the project phasing, lane and/or facility closure strategies, whether HOV/temporary lanes/shoulders will be used for general traffic, ramp/interchange closures, construction strategies, lane closure hours, duration, etc. Identify holiday, event, seasonal and/or night time restrictions. The Sequence of Construction and Traffic Control Plans should be provided.
- **General Schedule and Timeline** – The start and finish dates for the project and phasing schedule (if appropriate), including all major milestones and planned shutdown times for events for winter, environmental windows, special events, etc.
- **Need for Detours** – Include where detours are identified for staging purposes or for alternate routes. Detour plans should be provided separately from TTC plans.
- **Related Projects** – Other on-going or planned projects in the vicinity of the project area that may cause cumulative impacts to the region(s) and corridor(s).

Existing and future conditions - Provides information on existing and anticipated future (i.e. during construction) conditions in the project area including traffic, safety, and business and community access. While the level of detail will vary based on the project, it should consider:

- **Data Collection and Modeling Approach** – Traffic volumes will be used to make recommendations for maximum impacts without exceeding reasonable delay. Reasonable delay means TTC will provide enough capacity for demands and delays will not exceed 10 minutes, and for stop conditions, traffic will not be stopped for more than 20 minutes and

delayed for more than 30 minutes (20/30), cumulatively for each project regardless of the total number of work zones used simultaneously. If these reasonable delays will be exceeded, a statement of expected traffic impacts will be included in the TMP. In some cases the 20/30 and 10 minute specifications are not feasible. In such cases, increased public outreach or other traffic management strategies (detours or accelerated construction) should all be utilized.

- **Existing Roadway Characteristics** – This includes a history of roadways in the study area, roadway classification(s), and number of lanes, geometrics, and urban/suburban/rural.
- **Existing and Historical Traffic Data** – This includes measures such as volumes, speed, capacity, volume to capacity ratio, truck percentage, queue length, peak traffic hours, through versus local traffic, etc. Historical traffic data should be no more than three (3) years old.
- **Existing Traffic Operations** – This includes signal timing, delay, and traffic control types.
- **Crash Data** – An accident history including number and type of crashes should be documented.
- **Stakeholder Concerns/Issues** – Include a list of project stakeholders and others potentially impacted by the project. Input from the community and business representatives and other stakeholders should be included and prioritized to address local concerns.
- **Vulnerable road users** – discuss what types of vulnerable road users, if any, frequent this area, what facilities are available to them, and how their facilities are impacted. This section of the TMP should note specific special provisions on detours or maintained facilities that are for those vulnerable road users.
- **Traffic Mobility Issues** – List major events that have the potential to impact mobility during the project.
- **Traffic Predictions During Construction (Volume, Delay, Queues)** - Based on existing and historical data, traffic growth rates, and the modeling/estimating approach used, estimates of traffic and safety during construction should be developed and documented. Future estimates should be compared to the existing data. When available historical hourly volumes per direction shall be provided in a graph which states the year the data is from. For some projects the hourly data shall include projected data which may be expected growth rates or hourly rates projected to the final year of construction. Cases with projected data include on the interstate, within the urban footprint as defined by the Department's Functional Classification Maps, exceeding two construction seasons, or when requested by the RE. TMP should state that recommendations on flagging stations is based around peak hour volumes and off-peak conditions may vary.

Work Zone assessment - The work zone impacts may include:

- **Qualitative Summary of Anticipated Work Zone Impacts** – This involves a brief discussion on how the project is expected to impact its vicinity, including major corridors, local streets, how traffic patterns are expected to change, and an estimate on how traffic demand might change due to the project.
- **Summary of Maintenance of Traffic Alternative Analysis (MOTAA)** – Summary of the MOTAA conducted during planning should include a List of staging/phasing and traffic control options investigated for the selected alternative only. Summarize constraints of each MOT option, anticipated impacts, and costs.

- **Impacts Assessment of Alternative Project Design and Management Strategies** – This is a discussion on how the project’s work zone design and other mitigation efforts would impact the project area, how they would affect each other, and how they might adversely impact specific areas, if any.

- **Construction Approach/Phasing/Staging Strategies** – Include any additional staging/phasing/MOT options investigated since the completion of the MOTAA. As the design evolves, there may be a need to revise construction strategies. Impacts should be investigated for new strategies in a similar approach as was taken in the MOTAA. Minimally, the new construction strategies should be investigated for impacts on:

1. Access to communities and businesses
2. Decision sight distance (especially at on-ramps)
3. Ramp capacity
4. Right-of-way
5. Environment (wetlands, noise, dust control, BMP’s, historical, etc.)
6. Bridge widths
7. Earthwork, retaining walls, pier clearances, profile differences, etc.
8. Ability to maintain existing drainage, utility, and lighting systems
9. Pedestrian and bicycle facilities
10. Construction duration
11. Constructability and construction equipment access
12. Emergency services (fire, ambulance, police, hospitals)
13. Over-height, over-weight vehicles
14. Public safety (workers and traveling public)
15. Traffic and mobility (see Traffic Analysis)
16. Construction and MOT costs

Work Zone Impacts Management Strategies – List work zone impact management strategies considered (recommended, and considered but rejected) and discuss feasibility and anticipated traffic or safety impacts. In table 2 of Appendix A of this document lists a variety of work zone impact management strategies for consideration. A determination of the pros and cons of each strategy should be considered to determine whether the strategies are likely to improve mobility and/or safety. Strategies may include:

- Temporary traffic control and devices
- Project coordination, contracting and accelerated construction
- Demand management
- Corridor/network management
- Work zone safety
- Work zone ITS
- Police traffic
- Public awareness
- Motorist information
- Incident management

For cost effectiveness, constructability needs to be balanced with the work zone transportation management strategies in order to best serve the public, construction workers, and agency. There may be more than one option for addressing safety and mobility during construction. In order to decide which option is appropriate, the benefits and costs of the strategies should be estimated and compared. The cost evaluation may consider on-site costs (e.g. strategy implementation, right-of-way, environmental, delay, safety, accessibility to businesses and community, user costs), and detour costs, both capital and operating. Comparing the cost to

implement work zone impact management strategies to the reduction in user delay costs may be an effective measure. Where appropriate, strategies should be documented on plan sheets, in separate plans (PI), in specifications and/or special provisions, and in construction estimates.

Traffic Analysis Strategies – A brief description on how the expected future (construction) traffic conditions were determined. Any traffic reduction factors or other parameters assumed for the calculations should be documented.

Measures of Effectiveness – List the measure of effectiveness used for the analysis, such as capacity, volume queue, speed, travel time, diversion, safety, noise, environmental, adequacy of detour routes, cost effectiveness, etc.

Selected Alternative – Plans, specifications, and estimates should be developed for the selected alternative. Describe the selected construction approach, including the construction phasing/staging strategy selected and the work zone impact management strategies selected. Any work hour restrictions should be documented for each stage (e.g., night work, peak hour restrictions, etc.). The following documents will need to be developed:

- Construction phasing/staging plans - Provide the construction approach/phasing/staging strategy on plan sheets.
- Work zone impact management strategies should be documented on plan sheets where possible (e.g. geometric improvements, control devices, etc.). If not on the plans, strategies should be listed with text describing any restrictions, usage, or other considerations in the contract documents.
- Detour Plans (traffic or Ped/Bike as necessary)
- Temporary Traffic Signal Plans, including any timing modifications (if required)
- Temporary Lighting Plans (if required)
- Public Information and Outreach Plan
- Necessary Special Provisions covering TMP elements

TMP monitoring / evaluation criteria - Develop project specific criteria and methods for measuring and evaluating the TMP and determine how it will be modified if improvements are needed.

- **Monitoring Requirements** – Monitoring requirements for the TMP should be included in the TMP and be made part of the contract documents. The evaluation should consider both the performance of individual TMP strategies as well as overall performance of the work zone and work zone impact area. This may include, but is not limited to:
 1. Verification of work zone set-up
 2. Identification and process for monitoring TMP performance (e.g. volume counts, queue length, crashes, complaints and feedback, surveys, etc.)
 3. Tracking TMP implementation costs and comparing them to the budgeted costs
 4. Approach for corrective action when TMP performance requirements are not met
 5. Submission of revised/alternative TMPs and the approval process
 6. Person(s) responsible for each component of the TMP monitoring
- **Evaluation Report for the TMP** – The TMP should include reference to the development of an evaluation report upon completion of construction to document lessons learned and provide recommendations on how to improve the TMP process and/or modify guidelines. The Evaluation Report should be completed by the Project Manager.

Public Information and outreach strategies - The public information and outreach strategies serves two main purposes. It informs the public about the overall purpose of the project to generate and maintain public support and encourages changes in travel behavior during the project to minimize congestion. Public awareness and motorist information strategies should be included in the public information and outreach plan. Separate documentation for public information and outreach efforts may be required by the Public Information Office. Refer to table 3 of Appendix A for strategies on how to develop public information and outreach plans as part of the TMP effort.

TMP Incident management - Emergency communications should be discussed at the pre-construction meeting. Important elements to discuss include:

- Roles and responsibilities of those who are involved in incident management
- Key contacts and their contact information
- Emergency and essential services contacts

Special considerations (as needed) - Any special considerations related to the project that have not been included in a previous section should be identified here. This may include reiterating special provisions, highlighting considerations that may need to be included in contracting documents, identifying work zone management strategies that require implementation prior to construction, etc.

Attachments (as needed) - Appendices may be included in the TMP document to include information that may be relevant or of interest to the TMP reviewer, implementer, or other stakeholders. This could include, but is not limited to:

- Observed, historical, and/or estimated traffic volumes, speeds travel times, level-of service, delay, and crashes.
- Maps
- TTC Plans
- Detailed analysis methodology, assumptions and parameters used
- Special provision text

5.0 **Implementation of TMP**

5.1 **General**

Implementing the TMP consists of providing the processes and personnel required for the placement, inspection, monitoring and documentation of the TMP elements. The implementation of the TMP will start at the pre-construction meeting and continue through the final day of the project.

5.2 **Processes and Personnel**

Pre-Construction Meeting - The pre-construction meeting should be held prior to the Notice to Proceed date. The procedures the contractor plans to use for the handling of traffic through the project will be discussed at the pre-construction meeting. Limitations of operations will be discussed; as well as, the process for providing the public with information regarding lane restrictions, detours and access restrictions.

Communication is vital for the safe and effective use of TMP elements. All contact information for personnel in charge of the aspects discussed in the pre-construction meeting should be documented to help with the communication throughout the life of the project.

Highway Restriction Report - The highway restriction report will be completed by the Resident Engineer 24 hours prior to work that will restrict or change the flow of traffic. This report will be forwarded to the appropriate district to assist in the PI Plan. Information from the report will be used on the 511 phone line and nvroads.com Website. The highway restriction report will also be utilized in the operations of emergency response, public transit systems and oversized load permitting.

Assigning technician's inspection and monitoring duties - The Resident Engineer will assign an ATSSA certified technician the duties of inspection and monitoring of the TTC set up, maintenance and removal. The Resident Engineer should supply the assigned technician all available information, training and equipment necessary for he/she to complete these duties safely and thoroughly. Some of these duties are as follows:

- Attending contractor safety meetings
- Inspection and documentation of TTC set up and removal and the documentation of any identified deficiencies.
- Inspection of in-place TTC and the documentation of any deficient elements identified during inspection
- Monitoring flaggers and documenting their certification, location, hours worked, handling of traffic and compliance with specifications
- Monitoring and assessing the flow of traffic through the project. Discuss all ideas for improvement of the TTC with the resident engineer.
- Driving through the TTC several times throughout the work day/night to assure proper installation and effectiveness.
- Reporting to the Resident Engineer all information documented during the completion of these duties daily

Assigned Traffic Control Supervisor - The Traffic Control Supervisor assigned to the TTC will be responsible for the safe and timely flow of traffic through a project. The Traffic Control

Supervisor will maintain a current certification (See section 3.0 of this document) per section 107.07 of the Special Provisions. Department Special Provisions, section 624.03.06 outlines the inspection requirements of the Traffic Control Supervisor. The reports generated by these requirements will be reviewed by the Resident Engineer and included in the contract documentation.

Uniformed Traffic Control Officer - A Uniformed Traffic Control Officer may be required for special traffic control situations. Contact information will be listed in Subsection 624.03.07 of the Special Provisions. The contractor will be responsible for contacting the appropriate personnel and scheduling the work to be performed accordingly. Some of the situations that may require a uniformed Traffic Control Officer are as follows:

- Signal repair, signal redesign or new signal construction
- Rolling stop for the placement of overhead signs.
- Emergency closures or detours

Contractor Generated Traffic Control Plans –Projects with a Lump Sum TTC bid item will have Contractor generated TTC plan. The Resident Engineer, will review and accept or reject these TTC plans. The Resident Engineer may request TMP team review of the TTC plan. The Resident Engineer will work with the contractor and local agencies in his review of these plans. All TTC plans generated by the contractor will comply with all references listed in this document and the limitations provided in the Special Provisions.

Resident Engineer Changes to TTC - The Resident Engineer can propose changes to the TTC Plan provided in the contract documents or the TTC plan provided by the contractor. These changes may be considered when field conditions are different than what has been planned for or field conditions have changed prior to implementing the TTC.

5.3 Outcome

The proper implementation of a TMP should provide safe and effective work zones. The processes and personnel utilized in the implementation should be instrumental in the evaluations for future projects.

5.4 Post Construction Review

The Construction Division will be responsible for initiating a post construction review on all projects. This review will be an information gathering tool used for modifying procedures and specifications to better our future projects. The Construction Division will produce and distribute a quarterly report. This report will identify the aspects of the TMP that work well and those that did not work well.

6.0 Incident Management

6.1 Overview

Incident management is a planned and coordinated approach to remove incidents from the highway and restore traffic capacity as safely and quickly as possible. Incident management considers emergency response routes, public transit routes, school zones, and detour routes. It should clearly define authority during an incident and contact information for contractors and inspectors who can ensure work zone traffic control can be safely manipulated as needed during an incident. The local Traffic Incident Management (TIM) Coalition will define provisions for a quick and efficient incident area. The TMP will define contact info for contractors, and the Department's inspectors. During an incident, the first 1st responder on the scene is the Incident Commander and has authority over all activities until the incident is entirely cleared from the roadway. It is the responsibility of Department maintenance, the contractor(s), and the Department's inspection crew to coordinate with the Incident Commander to ensure he can safely manage the incident area and return the roadway to its pre-incident condition. These authority levels combined with Traffic Incident Management (TIM) procedures will ensure work zone mobility is maintained and/or restored as quickly and safely as possible.

6.2 TMP Information

The TIM Coalition will define parameters and procedures for the management of an Incident area. The TIM procedures will also define an Incident Commander who will manage all 1st responders. When an incident occurs in a work zone the rules stay the same but new stakeholders, in the form of the Department and its' contractor(s), become resources at the disposal of the Incident Commander. Subcontractors, consultant REs and their crews are included in these requirements.

To ensure the roles are defined and communication is facilitated the TMP will include contact information for the RE and one other member of the crew, and for a TIM Coalition member in the project area, see figure 6.1. If contractor is known at TMP development, contact information for their Project Manager and one additional contact should be provided. The Assistant District Engineer over maintenance activities will also be identified in the TMP as a contact for Department maintenance. Each District Engineer (or their designee) shall participate in the TIM coalition.

Figure 6.1 – Contact Information for TIM Coalition in each area.

	Department TIM contact	NHP TIM contact
Las Vegas	Mario Gomez - Mgomez@dot.nv.gov 702-630-4091	Captain Kevin Honea - Khonea@dps.state.nv.us 702-985-5497
Tonopah	Vacant	Sergeant Loy Hixon - lhixson@dps.state.nv.us 702-499-2205
Elko	Sami Yousuf - Syousuf@dot.nv.gov 702-523-8674	Lieutenant Jeff Howell - Jhowell@dps.state.nv.us 775-240-7146
Winnemucca	Trent Averett – Taverett@dot.nv.gov 775-623-8012	Lieutenant Zach Pederson - ZPederson@dps.state.nv.us
Ely	Steve Baer - Sbaer@dot.nv.gov 775-289-1703	Lieutenant Scott Simon- Msimon@dps.state.nv.us 775-720-8519
Reno	Andrew Lawrence- ALawrence@dot.nv.gov 775-443-5169	Captain John Dondero - Jdondero@dps.state.nv.us 775-687-9628

*Contact Juan Hernandez for updated contacts.

It is the responsibility of the Department, the contractor, and any consultants to defer to the Incident Commander until the incident area is clear. The TMP and contact information for the contractor (if not included in TMP) shall be provided to the local TIM Coalition prior to groundbreaking. The TIM Coalition shall be provided with an opportunity to comment on TC plans for Significant projects.

Appendices

Appendix A

Strategy Tables

Appendix A, (Table 1) Temporary Traffic Control (TTC)

A. Control Strategies	B. Traffic Control Devices	C. Project Coordination, Contracting, and Innovative Construction Strategies
<p>IA1. Construction phasing/staging</p> <p>IA2. Full roadway closures</p> <p>IA3. Lane shifts or closures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Reduced lane widths to maintain number of lanes (construction) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Lane closures to provide worker safety ▪ Reduced shoulder width to maintain number of lanes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Shoulder closures to provide worker safety ▪ Lane shift to shoulder/median to maintain number of lanes <p>IA4. One-lane, two-way operation</p> <p>IA5. Two-way traffic on one side of divided facility (crossover)</p> <p>IA6. Reversible lanes</p> <p>IA7. Ramp closures/relocation</p> <p>IA8. Freeway-to-freeway interchange closures</p> <p>IA9. Night work</p> <p>IA10. Weekend work</p> <p>IA11. Work hour restrictions for peak travel</p> <p>IA12. Pedestrian/bicycle access improvements</p> <p>IA13. Business access improvements</p> <p>IA14. Off-site detours/use of alternate routes</p>	<p>IB1. Temporary signs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Warning ▪ Regulatory ▪ Guide/Information <p>IB2. Message signs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Dynamic (DMS) ▪ Changeable (CMS) <p>IB3. Arrow panels</p> <p>IB4. Channelizing devices</p> <p>IB5. Temporary pavement markings</p> <p>IB6. Flaggers and uniformed traffic control officers</p> <p>IB7. Temporary traffic signals</p> <p>IB8. Lighting devices</p> <p>IB9. Balloon lighting</p> <p>IB10. Flashing beacons</p> <p>IB11. Drums</p>	<p>IC1. Project coordination:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Coordination with other projects <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Utilities coordination ▪ Right-of-Way coordination ▪ Coordination with other transportation infrastructure <p>IC2. Contracting strategies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Design-build ▪ A+B bidding <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ CMAR ▪ Incentive/Disincentive clauses <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Lane rental <p>IC3. Innovative construction techniques (pre-cast members, rapid cure materials)</p>

Appendix A, (Table 2) Transportation Operations (TO)

A. Demand Management Strategies	B. Corridor/Network Management Strategies	C. Work Zone Safety Management Strategies	D. Traffic/Incident Management and Enforcement Strategies
III-A1. Transit service improvements III-A2. Transit incentives III-A3. Shuttle services III-A4. Ridesharing/carpooling incentives III-A5. Park-and-ride promotion III-A6. High-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes III-A7. Toll/Congestion pricing III-A8. Ramp metering III-A9. Parking supply management III-A10. Variable work hours III-A11. Telecommuting	III-B1. Signal timing/coordination improvements III-B2. Temporary traffic signals III-B3. Street/intersection improvements III-B4. Bus turnouts III-B5. Turn restrictions III-B6. Parking restrictions III-B7. Truck/heavy vehicle restrictions III-B8. Separate truck lanes III-B9. Reversible lanes III-B10. Dynamic lane closure system III-B11. Ramp metering III-B12. Temporary suspension of ramp metering III-B13. Ramp closures III-B14. Railroad crossings controls III-B15. Coordination with adjacent construction site(s) III-B16. Bicycle and pedestrian access III-B17. Bicycle and pedestrian detours	III-C1. Speed limit reduction/variable speed limits III-C2. Temporary traffic signals III-C3. Temporary traffic barrier III-C4. Movable traffic barrier systems III-C5. Crash-cushions III-C6. Temporary rumble strips III-C7. Intrusion alarms III-C8. Warning lights III-C9. Automated Flagger Assistance Devices (AFADs) III-C10. Project task force/committee III-C11. Construction safety supervisors/inspectors III-C12. Road safety audits III-C13. TMP monitor/inspection team III-C14. Team meetings III-C15. Project on-site safety training III-C16. Safety awards/incentives III-C17. Windshield surveys	III-D1. ITS for traffic monitoring/management III-D2. Transportation Management Center (TMC) III-D3. Surveillance [CCTV, loop detectors, lasers, probe vehicles] III-D4. Helicopter for aerial surveillance III-D5. Traffic screens III-D6. Call boxes III-D7. Mile-post markers III-D8. Tow/freeway service patrol III-D9. Total station units III-D10. Photogrammetry III-D11. Coordination with media III-D12. Local detour routes III-D13. Contract support for incident management III-D14. Incident/emergency management coordinator III-D15. Incident/emergency response plan III-D16. Dedicated (paid) police presence III-D17. Increased penalties for work zone violations

Appendix A, (Table 3) Public Information (PI)

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> II-A1. Brochures and mailers as appropriate II-A2. Press releases/media alerts (Performed by Headquarters) as appropriate II-A3. Paid advertisements as appropriate II-A4. Public information center as appropriate II-A5. Telephone hotline as appropriate II-A6. Planned lane closure web site as appropriate II-A7. Project web site as appropriate II-A8. Public meetings/hearings as appropriate II-A9. Community task forces as appropriate II-A10. Coordination with media, schools, businesses, and/or emergency services as appropriate II-A11. Work Zone education and safety campaigns as appropriate II-A12. Work Zone safety highway signs II-A13. Rideshare promotions II-A14. Visual information (videos, slides, presentations) for meetings and website 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> II-B1. Traffic radio as appropriate II-B2. Changeable message signs (CMS) II-B3. Temporary motorist information signs II-B4. Speed feedback sign II-B5. Highway advisory radio (HAR) II-B6. Extinguishable signs II-B7. Highway information network (web-based) II-B8. 511 traveler information systems (wireless, handhelds) II-B9. Freight travel information II-B10. Transportation Management Center (TMC) II-B11. Social Media (Facebook, Twitter) as appropriate
---	--

Appendix B

TMP Examples

Transportation Management Plan

SR-376

Nye County, Mileposts 53.943 to 81.75

Lander County, Mileposts 0.00 to 18.065

1" Coldmill with 2" Plantmix Bituminous Surface with Open Grade



August 2022



**Nevada Department of Transportation
1263 S. Stewart Street
Carson City, Nevada 89712**

Prepared By: Shina Ford

Executive Summary

This project consists of a 1" cold mill with 2" plantmix bituminous surface with open grade from milepost NY 53.943 to 81.75 and LA 0.00 to 18.065. The road is classified as a principal arterial and has one lane of traffic in each direction. The posted speed limit ranges from 45 mph to 70 mph throughout the project limits. Traffic control will consist of single lane closures which will be performed utilizing flaggers and a pilot car.

This Transportation Management Plan (TMP) will describe the transportation management strategies developed throughout the course of this project. The strategies developed include the Maintenance of Traffic Plan and the Transportation Operations Plan.

TMP Roles and Responsibilities

TMP Coordinator

The Nevada Department of Transportation's (NDOT) Project Coordinator for this project is Jesse Smithson. Shina Ford will serve as the Transportation Management Plan (TMP) Project Manager and is responsible for the overall development of the TMP.

Jesse Smithson
Office: (775) 888-7652
jsmithson@dot.nv.gov

Shina Ford
Office: (775) 888-7559
ssford@dot.nv.gov

TMP Team

The TMP Team is comprised of the individuals who have been involved in the development and review of the TMP. The TMP team consisted of Jesse Smithson, Laura Wiggins (Roadway Design), Eric St. Sauver, Mike Roberts (Crew 914), Mike West (Constructability), Jeffery Bickett and Shina Ford (Traffic Operations).

TMP Implementation Task Leaders

The following are some of the implementation responsibilities of Task Leaders as defined in this TMP:

NDOT Resident Engineer

1. Approve staging areas.
2. Coordinate with other construction activity in the general area of this project.
3. Enforce the contract limitations of operations.
4. Review the safety of in-place traffic control and contractor operations.
5. Provide construction information through a Highway Restriction Report (HRR) to District III so that they can place it on the 511 website.
6. Identify additional emergency contacts.

Contractor

1. Choose staging areas.
2. Coordinate with other construction activity in the general area of this project.
3. Design and submit traffic control plans.
4. Provide traffic control supervision and inspection.

Emergency Contacts

Sami Yousuf, District III Engineer:	(702) 777-2700 Office; (702) 523-8674 Cell
Eric St. Sauver, Asst. Resident Engineer:	(702) 671-6633 Office; (702) 682-2831 Cell
Mike Roberts, Asst. Resident Engineer:	(702) 671-6633 Office; (702) 278-1308 Cell


Additional emergency contacts will be identified at the Pre-Construction Meeting.

Project Description

This project consists of a 1" cold mill with 2" plantmix bituminous surface with open grade from milepost NY 53.943 to 81.75 and LA 0.00 to 18.065.

Project Type

This preservation project is state funded.



General Schedule and Timeline

This project is anticipated to begin construction in spring of 2023 with one-hundred twenty (120) working days.

Existing and Future Conditions

The following traffic analysis is provided as a recommendation/guidance. This does not supersede section 108.04 of the Special Provision. Please refer to the contract Limitations of Operations in development of the Traffic Control Plan.

SR-376 in the project area is a principal arterial with one 12-foot lane per direction and 1–3-foot shoulders for both directions. The directional traffic is separated by yellow lane markings. There are rumble strips present in the median from milepost NY 59.6 to 73.8. The posted speed limits are 45 mph from the start of the project area to mile post NY 54.3, 55 mph from milepost NY 54.3 to 54.5, and 70 mph from milepost NY 54.5 to the end of the project area. All intersections in the project area are Two-Way Stop-Controlled with SR-376 as the major roadway, except for the intersection with US 50 where SR-376 is the minor roadway. Heavy truck percentage on SR-376 is 10.5%.

The typical morning peak hour traffic volumes on SR-376 are 28 vehicles per hour (vph) southbound and 22 vph northbound. The morning peak traffic periods are from 9:00 am to 12:00 pm southbound and 7:00 am to 9:00 am northbound. The afternoon peak hour traffic volumes are 28 vph southbound and 35 vph northbound. The afternoon peak traffic periods are from 1:00 pm to 2:00 pm southbound and 5:00 pm to 7:00 pm northbound.

SR-376: At the Lander and Nye County Line (2020) 590 AADT




Figure 1: Typical Weekday Traffic Characteristics on SR-376

Traffic Operations recommends that pilot car operations be limited to 3.9 miles (measured from flagger to flagger).

Stakeholder Concerns/Issues

Project stakeholders and organizations potentially affected by the project include:

- Nevada DOT
- Nye County
- Lander County
- Nevada State Police: Division of Highway Patrol
- Emergency Medical Services

Stakeholder traffic concerns and issues, including those by the community and business representatives, known are:

- Delays to traffic and increased travel times
- Impacts to bicyclists
- Construction noise impacts on residents adjacent to the roadway
- Inconvenience to access local businesses and residences

Work Zone Impacts Assessment Report

Qualitative Summary of Anticipated Work Zone Impacts

This work is expected to have impacts on mobility. SR-376 is expected to have pilot car operations and flaggers. Backups should be planned for by utilizing advanced signing, warnings on changeable message signs, and public notifications advising motorists to utilize alternate routes whenever possible. Project areas with a posted speed limit higher than 55 mph may reduce to 55 mph during construction, as directed.

A work zone impact assessment will be developed throughout construction. This ongoing assessment will include daily field surveys that monitor traffic mobility, evaluation of work safety records, and daily evaluation of stakeholder and public complaints. Upon review of the assessments, NDOT and the contractor may choose to modify the traffic control to improve deficiencies as appropriate.

Construction Approach/Phasing/Staging Strategies

This project will be completed using lump sum traffic control, submitted by the contractor and approved by the Resident Engineer.

Regardless of traffic control operations, traffic will not be stopped for more than a 20-minute duration and will not be delayed for more than a total of 30-minutes.

Only one work zone, limited to 5 miles in length per direction will be allowed, unless otherwise approved.

The proposed traffic control includes the use of pilot car operations and flaggers. Pilot car operation lengths will be approved by the Resident Engineer based on the following recommendations. The pilot car operation length on SR-376 is recommended to be limited to 3.9 miles (flagger to flagger). One lane of public traffic shall be open at all times and all lanes shall be open to traffic during non-working hours.

The total work zone length may not exceed 5.0 miles.

A minimum of three (3) changeable message signs will also be deployed to assist the traveling public during construction.

Flaggers are required for all truck ingress and egress locations and when deemed necessary for safety

The use of traffic drums on SR-376 are mandatory.

To enhance safety and conspicuity a minimum of 3 trailer-mounted speed feedback signs and yellow flashing beacons placed on the W3-5A signs shall be used during approved speed reductions.

The temporary regulatory speed limit should not be left in effect beyond the daily hours of operations unless the conditions for which the speed reduction was implemented continues to exist, or channeling devices are required to route traffic through the work zone area.

The original regulatory speed limit shall be resumed by posting new signs at the end of the work zone for each direction of traffic.

Traffic control operations requiring the stopping of traffic shall use Temporary Transverse Rumble Strips and advance warning signage as specified in 625 of the Special Provisions.

Traffic control operations that take place at night, the use of portable overhead trailer mounted balloon lighting will be mandatory as determined and specified in 625 of the Special Provisions.

Work Zone Impacts Management Strategies

A variety of management strategies may be deployed to minimize disruption to traffic and maintain a safe working environment. Suggested strategies to minimize impacts are documented in Table 1 (temporary traffic control), Table 2 (traffic operations control), and Table 3 (public information) as shown below:

A. Control Strategies	B. Traffic Control Devices	C. Project Coordination, Contracting, and Innovative Construction Strategies
Construction phasing/staging One-lane, two-way operation	Temporary signs <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Warning • Regulatory Changeable message signs Channelizing devices Flaggers and uniformed traffic control officers Balloon lighting	Project Coordination with other transportation infrastructure Project coordination with Utilities.

Table 2- Transportation Operations (TO)			
A. Demand Management Strategies	B. Corridor/Network Management Strategies	C. Work Zone Safety Management Strategies	D. Traffic/Incident Management and Enforcement Strategies
		Construction safety supervisors/inspectors TMP monitor/inspection team Team meetings Temporary rumble strips Warning lights	Incident/emergency management coordinator Incident/emergency response plan Dedicated (paid) police presence Increased penalties for work zone violations
Table 3- Public Information (PI)			
Press releases/media alerts (Done by Headquarters) as appropriate Coordination with media, schools, businesses, and/or emergency services as appropriate		Changeable message signs (CMS) Highway information network (web-based) 511 traveler information systems (wireless, handhelds) Social Media (Facebook, Twitter) Dynamic speed message sign	

Selected Alternative

The selected alternative for work will be completed using lump sum traffic control submitted by the contractor and approved by the Resident Engineer. Traffic control plans submitted by the contractor will be subject to the construction restrictions and limitations as written in the Special Provisions.

TMP Monitoring

The Resident Engineer will monitor the effectiveness of the TMP through general inspection and traffic control supervision. The Resident Engineer will document unforeseen events relevant to the TMP as well as lessons learned. If any relevant information is documented, it should be distributed to the TMP Project Manager.

Public Information and Outreach Plan

As appropriate, portable CMSs will be used to inform road users of traffic information, such as to alert drivers of possible delays, dates construction will occur, incidents, or alternate routes. The current plan is to deploy three (3) CMS ten (10) days prior to construction activities.

NDOT also maintains work zone information for motorists on the 511 Traveler Information Line as well as on their website (<http://www.nvroads.com>). This project will be posted on the Traveler Information System.

Incident Management Plan

Incident management is the standardized procedure in place for the safe, efficient, and quick management of an incident zone from the time of incident to roadway clearance. Incident management will be handled by the 1st responder community. At each incident a 1st responder, known as the Incident Commander, will take authority over the management of incident zone. It is the responsibility of NDOT, the contractor, all subcontractors, and any consultants to defer to the Incident Commander until the incident area is clear. Guidelines for incident management are developed and adopted by local agencies as part of the local Traffic Incident Management (TIM) Coalition. For questions during an incident see the Incident Commander, for all other times contact TIM representatives Sami Yousuf at 702-523-8674 or at syousuf@dot.nv.gov, or Lieutenant Jeff Howell at 775-240-7146 or at JHowell@dps.state.nv.us. For NDOT maintenance participation contact Assistant District Engineer Steve Baer at 775-289-1703 or at SBaer@dot.nv.gov.

Transportation Management Plan

I-15 / US 93

Clark County, Mileposts 42.996 to 48.168

2 3/4-inch mill & overlay, stormwater treatment vault, structure rehabilitation, ITS/Lighting/Signs/ADA upgrades, erosion control



August 2022



Nevada Department of Transportation
1263 S. Stewart Street
Carson City, Nevada 89712

Prepared By: Jessica Downing

Executive Summary

This project consists of a mill & overlay on I-15 from milepost CL 42.996 to 48.168. The road is classified as an Interstate and varies with three to four lanes in each direction. The posted speed limit is 65 mph throughout the project limits. Traffic control will consist of lane, shoulder, and ramp closures on a multi-lane facility.

This Transportation Management Plan (TMP) will describe the transportation management strategies developed throughout the course of this project. The strategies developed include the Maintenance of Traffic Plan and the Transportation Operations Plan.

TMP Roles and Responsibilities

TMP Coordinator

The Nevada Department of Transportation's (NDOT) Project Coordinator for this project is Brian Deal. Jessica Downing will serve as the Transportation Management Plan (TMP) Project Manager and is responsible for the overall development of the TMP.

Brian Deal
Office: (775) 888-7654
bdeal@dot.nv.gov

Jessica Downing
Office: (775) 888-7705
jdowning@dot.nv.gov

TMP Team

The TMP Team is comprised of the individuals who have been involved in the development and review of the TMP. The TMP team consisted of Brian Deal, Curtis Hartzell, Ashton Hunter (Roadway Design), Don Christiansen (Resident Engineer), Craig Hutton (Constructability), Jeffrey Bickett, and Jessica Downing (Traffic Operations).

TMP Implementation Task Leaders

The following are some of the implementation responsibilities of Task Leaders as defined in this TMP:

NDOT Resident Engineer

1. Approve staging areas.
2. Coordinate with other construction activity in the general area of this project.
3. Enforce the contract limitations of operations.
4. Review the safety of in-place traffic control and contractor operations.
5. Provide construction information through a Highway Restriction Report (HRR) to District I so that they can place it on the 511 website.
6. Identify additional emergency contacts.

Contractor

1. Choose staging areas.
2. Coordinate with other construction activity in the general area of this project.
3. Design and submit traffic control plans.
4. Provide traffic control supervision and inspection.

Emergency Contacts

Abid Sulahria, Assistant District I Engineer: (702) 385-6500 Office; (702) 482-2303 Cell
Don Christiansen, Resident Engineer: (702) 730-3333 Office; (702) 622-2844 Cell
Brandon Monette, Asst. Resident Engineer: (702) 730-3333 Office; (702) 468-9975 Cell


Additional emergency contacts will be identified at the Pre-Construction Meeting.

Project Description

This project consists of a mill & overlay on I-15 from 0.21 miles south of the Bonanza Rd grade separation to 0.25 miles south of the Craig Rd grade separation, milepost CL 42.996 to 48.168.

Project Type

This preservation project is federally funded.



General Schedule and Timeline

This project is anticipated to begin construction in fall of 2022 with two hundred (200) working days.

Need for Detours

This project utilizes lump sum traffic control, traffic control plans will be developed and supplied by the contractor and will be approved by the Resident Engineer. Any detours shall be provided in the traffic control plans. Pedestrian traffic control and detours shall be submitted together with the work zone traffic control plans. Plans for any closures and detours shall be submitted fourteen (14) days prior for approval.

Existing and Future Conditions

The following traffic analysis is provided as recommendation/guidance. This does not supersede section 108.04 of the Special Provisions. Please refer to the Limitations of Operations in development of the traffic control plan.

I-15 in the project area is an Interstate with 12-foot lanes, 12-foot exterior, and 10-foot interior shoulders. There are four travel lanes northbound from milepost CL 43.7 to 47.5 and three travel lanes with an auxiliary lane from milepost CL 47.5 to the end of the project area. There are four travel lanes southbound from milepost CL 43.7 to 48.0 and three travel lanes with an auxiliary lane from milepost CL 48.0 to the end of the project area. The directional traffic is separated by concrete barrier. The posted speed limit is 65 mph throughout the project area. The heavy truck percentage is 5.9% for the roadway.

The typical morning peak hour traffic volumes on I-15 are 6,246 vph southbound and 5,458 vph northbound. The morning peak traffic periods are from 6:00 am to 12:00 pm for both directions. The afternoon peak hour traffic volumes are 6,676 vph southbound and 6,807 vph northbound. The afternoon peak traffic periods are from 2:00 pm to 6:00 pm for both directions.

I-15: North of D Street/Washington Interchange 'Exit 43-44' (2016)	176,500 AADT
I-15: 0.7 miles North of SR 147 Lake Mead Interchange 'Exit 45' (2019)	148,000 AADT
I-15: 1 mile North of Cheyenne Avenue Interchange 'Exit 46' (2019)	97,500 AADT




Figure 1: Typical Weekday Traffic Characteristics on I-15

Traffic Operations Division recommends the following:

One lane per direction should always be opened to traffic.

Southbound:

Two lanes recommended from 4:00 AM to 5:00 AM and 8:00 PM to 12:00 AM

Three lanes recommended from 5:00 AM to 6:00 AM and 6:00 PM to 8:00 PM

Four lanes where available recommended from 6:00 AM to 6:00 PM

Northbound:

Two lanes recommended from 5:00 AM to 6:00 AM and 10:00 PM to 12:00 AM

Three lanes recommended from 9:00 AM to 12:00 PM and 7:00 PM to 10:00 PM

Four lanes where available recommended from 6:00 AM to 9:00 AM and from 12:00 PM to 7:00 PM

Stakeholder Concerns/Issues

Project stakeholders and organizations potentially affected by the project include:

- Nevada DOT
- City of Las Vegas
- City of North Las Vegas
- Clark County
- Clark County School District
- RTC Southern Nevada
- Nevada State Police: Division of Highway Patrol
- FHWA
- Freeway and Arterial System of Transportation (FAST)
- Local utility companies
- Emergency Medical Services

Stakeholder traffic concerns and issues, including those by the community and business representatives, known are:

- Delays to traffic and increased travel times
- Impacts to bicyclists and pedestrians
- Construction noise impacts on residents adjacent to the roadway
- Inconvenience to access local businesses and residences

Work Zone Impacts Assessment Report

Qualitative Summary of Anticipated Work Zone Impacts

This work will have impacts on mobility. The construction on I-15 is expected to have standard lane, shoulder, and ramp closures. In order to mitigate impacts, lane closures will be limited to nighttime hours. Backups should be planned for by utilizing advanced signing, warnings on changeable message signs, and public notifications advising motorists to utilize alternate routes whenever possible. Speed reductions to include advanced warning signs and additional mitigation strategies to assist with compliance to the reduced speed will be implemented throughout the work zone to promote safety and awareness.

A work zone impact assessment will be developed throughout construction. This ongoing assessment will include daily field surveys that monitor traffic mobility, evaluation of work safety records, and daily evaluation of stakeholder and public complaints. Upon review of the assessments, NDOT and the contractor may choose to modify the traffic control to improve deficiencies as appropriate.

Construction Approach/Phasing/Staging Strategies

This project will be completed using lump sum traffic control, submitted by the contractor and approved by the Resident Engineer.

Regardless of traffic control operations, do not stop public traffic on I-15 at any time, or delay traffic for more than 10 minutes total, regardless of the number of work zones. For all other roads, do not stop public traffic for more than a 20-minute duration and do not delay it for more than a total of 30-minutes.

Work zones will be limited to 3 miles in length per direction, unless otherwise approved. Ramp closures will be limited to one ramp closure per work zone.

One lane of public traffic shall be open at all times and all lanes shall be open to traffic during non-working hours.

Flagger are required for all truck ingress and egress locations and for cross streets and intersections when deemed necessary and directed for safety.

A minimum of two truck-mounted impact attenuators will be required.

The use of traffic drums on I-15 will be mandatory.

A minimum of eight (8) changeable message signs will be deployed to assist the traveling public during construction.

During lane closures and shifts, speed limits may be reduced from 65 mph to 55 mph with prior approval.

To enhance safety and conspicuity a minimum of four (4) trailer-mounted speed feedback signs and yellow flashing beacons placed on the W3-5A signs shall be used during approved speed reductions.

The temporary regulatory speed limit should not be left in effect beyond the daily hours of operations unless the conditions for which the speed reduction was implemented continues to exist, or channeling devices are required to route traffic through the work zone area.

The original regulatory speed limit shall be resumed by posting new signs at the end of the work zone for each direction of traffic.

Traffic control operations that take place at night, the use of portable overhead trailer mounted balloon lighting will be mandatory as determined and specified in 625 of the Special Provisions.

Work Zone Impacts Management Strategies

A variety of management strategies may be deployed to minimize disruption to traffic and maintain a safe working environment. Suggested strategies to minimize impacts are documented in Table 1 (temporary traffic control), Table 2 (traffic operations control), and Table 3 (public information) as shown below:

Table 1- Temporary Traffic Control (TTC) Strategies			
A. Control Strategies	B. Traffic Control Devices	C. Project Coordination, Contracting, and Innovative Construction Strategies	
Construction phasing/staging Lane closures to provide worker safety Shoulder closures to provide worker safety Night work Ramp closures	Temporary signs <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Warning • Regulatory Changeable message signs Arrow panels Channelizing devices Flaggers and uniformed traffic control officers Lighting devices	Project Coordination: Other projects Other transportation infrastructure Utilities Right-of-Way	
Table 2- Transportation Operations (TO)			
A. Demand Management Strategies	B. Corridor/Network Management Strategies	C. Work Zone Safety Management Strategies	D. Traffic/Incident Management and Enforcement Strategies
	Turn restrictions Ramp closures	Construction safety supervisors/inspectors TMP monitor/inspection team Team meetings Speed limit reduction Warning lights	Incident/emergency management coordinator Incident/emergency response plan Dedicated (paid) police presence Increased penalties for work zone violations Coordination with media
Table 3- Public Information (PI)			
Press releases/media alerts (Performed by Headquarters) as appropriate Coordination with media, schools, businesses, and/or emergency services as appropriate		Changeable Message Signs (CMS) Highway information network (web-based) 511 traveler information systems (wireless, handhelds) Social Media (Facebook, Twitter) Dynamic Speed Message Sign	

Selected Alternative

The selected alternative for work will be completed using lump sum traffic control submitted by the contractor and approved by the Resident Engineer. Traffic control plans submitted by the contractor will be subject to the construction restrictions and limitations as written in the Special Provisions.

TMP Monitoring

The Resident Engineer will monitor the effectiveness of the TMP through general inspection and traffic control supervision. The Resident Engineer will document unforeseen events relevant to the TMP as well as lessons learned. If any relevant information is documented, it should be distributed to the TMP Project Manager.

Public Information and Outreach Plan

As appropriate, portable CMSs will be used to inform road users of traffic information, such as to alert drivers of possible delays, dates construction will occur, incidents, or alternate routes. The current plan is to deploy 8 CMS ten (10) days prior to construction activities.

NDOT also maintains work zone information for motorists on the 511 Traveler Information Line as well as on their website (<http://www.nvroads.com>). This project will be posted on the Traveler Information System.

Incident Management Plan

Incident management is the standardized procedure in place for the safe, efficient, and quick management of an incident zone from the time of incident to roadway clearance. Incident management will be handled by the 1st responder community. At each incident a 1st responder, known as the Incident Commander, will take authority over the management of incident zone. It is the responsibility of NDOT, the contractor, all subcontractors, and any consultants to defer to the Incident Commander until the incident area is clear. Guidelines for incident management are developed and adopted by local agencies as part of the local Traffic Incident Management (TIM) Coalition. For questions during an incident see the Incident Commander, for all other times contact TIM representatives Mario Gomez at 702-630-4091 or at Mgomez@dot.nv.gov, or Captain Kevin Honea at 702-985-5497 or at Khonea@dps.state.nv.us. For NDOT maintenance participation contact Assistant District Engineer Abid Sulahria at 702-482-2303 or asulahria@dot.nv.gov.

Appendix C

MOT Red Flag Checklist

MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC RED FLAG SUMMARY

Early in the project, after the project corridor or study area is defined, the Project Manager in consultation with the TMP Team will identify maintenance of traffic issues that are present or should be considered during project development. Red flags are meant to identify locations that may entail additional study coordination; creative management, design or construction approaches; or increased right-of-way or construction costs. Uncovering problem areas prior to developing engineering alternates could help reduce project costs and eliminate project delays.

The maintenance of traffic summary shall include an identification of existing barriers that may affect safety and mobility during construction. Identifying any major construction issues at this stage is important so that costly and complex conflicts can be avoided, or at a minimum identified, during the development of preliminary alternates. During the Preliminary Design Field Survey (PDFS), the Maintenance of Traffic Summary chart shall be completed. Any red flags identified should be presented at the Scoping Meeting (and included in the Scoping Meeting Report). The summary should also be updated and/or expanded on during the Traffic Control meeting.

Maintenance of Traffic Red Flag Summary:

Make a preliminary determination if the following issues are present or should be considered during project development. Provide additional comments as needed.

Project Description: _____ **EA:** _____

Completed by/Date: _____

Project Scope: _____

Mark all that apply:	NOTES:	Mark all that apply:	NOTES:
<input type="checkbox"/> Mill and Pave <input type="checkbox"/> Chipseal/Microsurface <input type="checkbox"/> Full Reconstruct <input type="checkbox"/> Partial Reconstruct <input type="checkbox"/> Roadbed Modification <input type="checkbox"/> Drop off's during construction <input type="checkbox"/> Structures <input type="checkbox"/> Winter construction in D2 or D3 <input type="checkbox"/> Work outside of roadway <input type="checkbox"/> Work under roadway <input type="checkbox"/> Hydraulic <input type="checkbox"/> SLI conduit <input type="checkbox"/> Speed limit above 55mph		<input type="checkbox"/> Geometric considerations <input type="checkbox"/> R/W constraints <input type="checkbox"/> Striping removal necessary <input type="checkbox"/> School zone/bus route <input type="checkbox"/> Pedestrian/Bicycle/ADA considerations <input type="checkbox"/> Traffic Signals <input type="checkbox"/> Bus stops <input type="checkbox"/> Police/Fire in area <input type="checkbox"/> Holiday/Special Event Consideration <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination w/local agencies necessary	

	Issue	Comments
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Possible <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	1. Can traffic be detoured? If yes, answer the questions below:	
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Possible <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	Is the local alternate detour route in good condition?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Possible <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	Will the detour route have a detrimental impact on emergency vehicles, school buses, or other sensitive traffic?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Possible <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	Are there load limit restrictions on the detour?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Possible <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	Are there bridge/culvert width restrictions on the detour?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Possible <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	2. Is the existing shoulder in good enough condition to support traffic during construction?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Possible <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	3. Could additional width be required on culverts or bridges to maintain traffic?	

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Possible <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	Is there a pedestrian/bicycle facility that needs to be maintained?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Possible <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	5. Could a temporary structure(s) be required?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Possible <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	6. Could a cross-over be needed?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Possible <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	7. Are there any issues regarding construction timeframes (e.g, time of day, time of year limits)?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Possible <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	8. Could there be a need to maintain railroad traffic?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Possible <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	9. Could maintenance of traffic have an impact on existing or proposed utilities?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Possible <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	10. Does it appear that maintenance of traffic will require additional right-of-way?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Possible <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	11. Are there any other maintenance of traffic issues? Specify.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Possible <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	12. Are there any geometric considerations? Specify.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Possible <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	13. Do you anticipate the need for a speed reduction?	

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Possible <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable	14. Would this project benefit for smart work zone devices?	
---	--	--

Additional Maintenance of Traffic notes:

Appendix D

Example FHWA Memo for Closure of Interstate



STEVE SISOLAK
Governor

STATE OF NEVADA
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

70

KRISTINA L. SWALLOW, P.E., Director

In Reply Refer to:

March 4, 2022

Susan E. Klekar
FHWA Nevada Division
705 N. Plaza Street, Suite 220
Carson City, Nevada 89701

Dear Ms. Klekar:

The Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT) is seeking FHWA concurrence to temporarily close Interstate 80 (I-80) as part of NDOT Contract 3849, Project No. NHFP-0808-4(097). This project is located along I-80 in Eureka County at the Emigrant Pass and consists of constructing an eastbound truck climbing lane east of Emigrant Pass, milepost EU 16 to 18.4, a westbound truck climbing lane west of Emigrant Pass, milepost EU 18.4 to 23, and replacing the I-889 bridge. This road closure is not to exceed eight (8) hours to successfully demolish the existing bridge I-889.

During the pre-construction phase, NDOT understood the structural removal could be phased, allowing for single lane open in each direction. However, it was later determined that phasing the I-889 bridge demolition was not feasible. Essentially, the bridge needs to be demolished in its entirety. After numerous meetings with the contractor and project stakeholders to include the nearby mine, the Project Team has developed a strategy to minimize disruptions to I-80 and successfully demolish the bridge.


The closure is anticipated to occur on Saturday, June 18, 2022, from 9:00 pm to 5:00 am on Sunday, June 19, 2022, which minimizes impacts to the public including any planned special events in the area. Revisions have been made to the demolition plan to accelerate the bridge removal and open one lane in each direction within eight (8) hours. Closure checkpoints, with law enforcement present, will be placed at Carlin and Battle Mountain, Exits 279 and 231, respectively. Additional advanced checkpoints at Exits 261 and 271 will allow motorists the option to turn back to the nearby towns of Carlin or Battle Mountain. The alternate route is heading south to US 50. While not ideal, this accelerated demolition strategy is the best solution to minimize commercial and public impacts.

Prior to the closure, NDOT's public outreach will include the release of a closure map identifying checkpoint locations and providing media appearances to raise awareness. NDOT and the contractor will coordinate with project stakeholders, to include the local TIM coalition and nearby mining operations. Additionally, the project team has developed a message plan for the Dynamic Message Signs, portable Changeable Message Signs, and static signs along I-80 (from state-line to state-line) and other significant junctions to alert the public of the roadway closure. The signs in the area will provide two weeks advanced notification of the scheduled road closure. In addition, NDOT is reaching out to partnering states for support in sign messaging during the closure.

In summary, NDOT and the contractor, with coordination with our PIO and local government partners, have developed a demolition plan that accelerates the removal of the structure and provides a public outreach plan that includes an advance sign message plan and coordination with our adjacent state transportation partners.

If you require any further information, please feel free to contact me at (775) 888-7440.

Sincerely,

DocuSigned by:

C4B812FC2C1E4FB...
Kristina Swallow, P.E.
Director
Nevada Department of Transportation

Approved:

DocuSigned by:

2F0DFEFBB32C4AA...
Susan Klekar
Nevada Division Administrator
Federal Highway Administration

- CC: Chad Matty, FHWA
Jacob Waclaw, FHWA
Iyad Alattar, FHWA
Joi Singh, FHWA
Juan Balbuena, FHWA
Jeff Lerud, Deputy Director, Operations and Maintenance
Darin Tedford, Deputy Director, Project Delivery
Cole Mortensen, Deputy Director, Planning and Performance
Jenica Keller, Assistant Director, Operations
Sajid Sulahria, Assistant Director, Engineering
Sondra Rosenberg, Assistant Director, Planning
Ryan McInerney, Communications Director
Scott Hein, Chief Roadway Design
Nick Johnson, Chief Project Management
Sam Lompa, Chief Construction Engineer
Mike Fuess, District 2 Engineer
Trent Averett, Assistant District 3 Engineer
Steve Baer, Assistant District 3 Engineer
Robert Thran, District 3 Supervisor 3 Engineer, Crew 912
Meg Ragonese, Public Information Officer
Rod Schilling, Chief Traffic Operations
Jae Pullen, Assistant Chief Traffic Operations Engineer
Casey Sylvester, Principal Signs, Striping and Traffic Control Engineer

Appendix E

Work Zone Speed Mitigation Matrix

**Appendix E
Work Zone Speed Mitigations Matrix**

Work Zone Conditions	Changeable Message Sign	Uniform Traffic Control Officer	² Temporary Lighting	³ Temporary Rumble Strips	Speed Feedback Sign	Lateral Deflection	⁴ Lane Narrowing	Flashing Beacon	² Smarter Work Zone System	Center-line Cones	Queue detection	Truck Mounted Attenuator	Temp Speed Reduction Markings	Flares	Decrease Device spacing	⁵ Target Cumulative Point Value
Alignment changes designed for speed below the existing posted speed limit	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	5 ¹
Concrete barrier rail less than 2 feet from high speed traffic	1	1	0	2	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3
Insufficient sight distance	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	0	0	2	1	1	1	1	4 ¹
Pilot Car	1	1	0	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	0	6 ¹
Ramp Closure	1	1	1	2	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3
Traffic lanes less than 11 feet wide	1	1	0	2	1	2	2	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	3
Trucks entering roadway	1	1	1	2	1	0	2	1	2	0	1	0	1	1	0	4 ¹
Uneven Lanes/Rough Road	1	1	0	2	1	2	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	3
Unprotected Work Activities	1	1	0	2	1	2	2	1	0	1	0	2	1	0	2	3
Unusual/Reduced Roadway Geometrics	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	3
Narrow Shoulders	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	3
Expected Reduction (mph)	1.4 - 2.8	2 - 6		2.5 - 5.5	2 - 10		3 - 8	3 - 6								
Source	Ukkusuri, S. V., Gkriza, K., Qian, X., & Sadri, A. M. (2016)	NCHRP 482 Work Zone Speed Management	NCHRP 476 Guidelines for Design and Operation of Nighttime Traffic Control for Highway Maintenance and Construction	Bai & Li 2009, 2011	FHWA Guidelines on managing speeds in work zones, 2010.		Traffic Control Devices Handbook, ITE, 2013	FHWA Desktop Reference of Potential Effectiveness in Reducing Speed, 2014.		District 3 Traffic - Engineer			MUTCD section 3B.22 and Figure 3B-28	MUTCD 6I.02	Spacing based on speed at least 10mph lower than posted, see sheet TC-1	

¹Short duration activities requiring lane closures less than 3 days have a maximum point total for any scenario of 3 points.

²These measures do not necessarily decrease operating speeds but are proven safety countermeasures.

³Rumble strips may be used in advance of speed reduction signing, or advance flagger/signal signing. Applications with both are counted twice (points).

⁴Minimum lane widths to be used for this strategy is 11', measured edge of vertical cone/drum to vertical cone/drum on each side of lane, unless temporary striping is used. Further reductions in lane width are a safety concern, reduce capacity and mobility, and are discouraged.

⁵Cumulative point values are determined by aggregating scores of all mitigation strategies implemented in particular work zone. Additional to signs required in the standard plans.

This page intentionally left blank